JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
eISSN:2805-5187 | Vol.2025, Issue 2, No.9

The Role of Public Private Partnerships in Smart City Governance Policy to Foster Economic Growth

Fries Melia Salviana

Faculty of Law, Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya University, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

Email: friesmelia@gmail.com

Abstract

Public-private partnerships are widely used in infrastructure-related projects, as such projects would be difficult to realize if they relied solely on the government. Smart cities represent a transformative approach to urban development, where technology, innovation, and governance are integrated to improve quality of life and promote sustainable economic growth. This study will analyze the role of public-private partnerships in smart city governance policies to foster economic growth, with a focus on how collaborative models can deliver efficient infrastructure, innovative digital services, and inclusive economic opportunities. Through an analysis of governance mechanisms, financing strategies, and case studies from various cities in ASEAN, this research emphasizes the need for transparency, accountability, and engagement with stakeholders so that public-private partnerships provide not just cutting-edge technologies, but also equitable and sustainable development to urban economies. The study adopted a qualitative method with a comparative case study design, performing policy and document analysis as well as implementation studies in a range of ASEAN cities, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of public-private partnerships in the governance of smart cities. The originality of this study is the comparative perspective on public-private partnership frameworks and smart city governance in the ASEAN region, thereby providing contextual understanding on the challenges and opportunities with respect to inclusive sustainable development on urban economies.

Keywords

Public-Private Partnerships, Smart City, Governance Policy, Foster Economic Growth

Introduction

Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) have become one of the key instruments in the development of urban infrastructure and urban governance. Through PPP, governments overcome budgetary constraints and speed up the realization of development projects by tapping into the private sector's financing, technology, and innovation. In the context of smart city development, the PPP model is especially relevant because the movement toward smart cities demands, in

Submission: 15 October 2025; **Acceptance:** 22 November 2025 **Available online:** November 2025

addition to the physical infrastructure, a robust digital technology complement, flexible governance structures, and a multitude of stakeholders' active involvement (Ng & Loosemore, 2007).

Smart cities are fully integrated urban developments that utilize information and communication technology (ICT), innovation, and governance to streamline resource management, improve quality of life, increase economic efficiency, and promote sustainable growth. The approach prioritizes the efficiency and effectiveness of resource utilization, participative decision-making, responsible management of data, and provision of public services. As much as the core strategic components of a smart city focus on innovation and high technology, the social component calls for a high level of investment, specific policies and regulations, and multiparty integrated collaboration, making PPP a fundamental approach to smart city development.

ASEAN countries continue to build smart cities while challenges vary according to a country's social, economic, and political context. For example, Indonesia has introduced the 100 Smart City Movement as a national program focused on urban digitization. Yet, the program's goals continue to be hindered by poorly developed regulations related to PPP, gaps in local human capital, and uneven fiscal capacity across the country. The Smart City initiative in Jakarta exemplifies the challenges of data integration and local government coordination that ultimately diminish the effectiveness of PPP toward the provision of digital public services (A. Voorwiden, 2021).

At the same time, Malaysia is implementing more progressive steps in using PPP for smart city projects, the most notable being the Cyberjaya Smart City Initiative, which is also one of ASEAN's model digital cities and continues to receive strong private sector backing in the provision of tech frameworks. However, challenges in Malaysia remain in the area of the long-term viability of funding and legal certainty of PPP contracts, along with low levels of community involvement in policy making and execution. Research indicates that the bulk of PPP-based smart city projects in Malaysia is highly imbalanced, withmore focus on technology than on meeting the needs of the community economically (S. B. Lim, J. A. Malek, M. F. Y. M. Yussoff & T. Yigitcanlar, 2021).

The comparison of Indonesia and Malaysia regarding PPP for smart city development points to the same issues of poorly developed regulatory environments, financial viability, institutional frameworks and stakeholder involvement. The latter being critical to the success of PPP along with technological capabilities and funding, makes the significance of the work on smart city governance policies in ASEAN particularly compelling. It is hoped this study will showcase the opportunity to develop collaborative partnerships with the government for the provision of efficient modern tools and innovative digital services.

Methodology

This is study examines the function of Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in smart city governance policies intended to promote economic growth using a qualitative approach and a comparative case study design. To obtain a comprehensive understanding of the governance mechanisms, financing strategies, and stakeholder involvement in the implementation of smart city initiatives across various ASEAN cities that have adopted PPP frameworks for their smart city development, the qualitative approach was selected. Because of their sophisticated smart city initiatives and reliance

on PPP agreements, Malaysia (Cyberjaya and Kuala Lumpur) and Indonesia (Jakarta and Bandung) have been selected as the main examples. To strengthen the comparative analysis, more cases from other ASEAN nations could be included as illustrative examples.

Results and Discussion

The basic aim of smart cities is to use innovations, technology, and data-focused governance to improve urban resilience while providing an improved quality of life and economically sustainable development. Confined to urban challenges like managing congestion, handling pollution, inefficient resource management, and governance silos, smart cities initiatives help integrate the information and communication technologies (ICTs) along with effective policymaking. On the other hand, smart cities are spaces from which inclusivity and participation are meant to be encouraged everywhere, providing all digital transformation to all sections of society, and not creating digital divides. Smart cities are meant to economically develop through enticing investment, talent, and innovation, thereby forming innovation ecosystems that develop the country's long-term competitivity in the digital global economy (Komninos, 2013; Nam & Pardo, 2011). Thus, the aim of smart cities goes beyond technology to achieve adaptive, resilient, and equitable urban ecosystems.

Smart cities signify a groundbreaking advancement by governments in tackling the intricate issues of swift urbanization in the digital age. Through the incorporation of information and communication technologies (ICT) into urban governance, smart cities facilitate more effective service provision, improve transparency, and encourage citizen engagement in decision-making processes. They additionally foster economic progress by creating digital ecosystems and drawing private investment in infrastructure and innovation. Concurrently, smart cities tackle urgent social and environmental challenges, including alleviating traffic congestion, enhancing waste management, and promoting low-carbon efforts for sustainable urban living. The smart city model, driven by the government, represents a progressive strategy that integrates technology, governance, and partnerships with the private sector to develop resilient, inclusive, and sustainable urban environments (Chourabi et al., 2012).

Public-Private Partnership (PPP) denotes a cooperative agreement between government bodies and private sector participants whereby resources, risks, and obligations are jointly managed to provide public services or infrastructure projects with greater efficiency. PPPs aim to merge the effectiveness, creativity, and financial resources of the private sector with the authority, supervision, and public responsibility of the government. This collaboration framework is especially significant in tackling intricate issues like infrastructure growth, digital evolution, and smart city projects, where governmental resources by themselves might fall short of satisfying increasing needs. Through the implementation of PPPs, governments are able to utilize private sector knowledge and funding while making certain that projects correspond with enduring public interests and sustainable development objectives (Grimsey & Lewis, 2004; Hodge & Greve, 2007).

Public-Private Partnership (PPP) is one form of direct investment initiated by the government to accelerate the provision of infrastructure and public services. Through PPP schemes, the government collaborates with private sector actors by sharing responsibilities in financing, construction, operation, and maintenance of projects that are essential for economic and social development. This arrangement allows the government to overcome fiscal limitations, while the private sector gains investment opportunities and returns within a regulated framework. More

importantly, PPP ensures that infrastructure projects—such as transportation networks, energy supply, healthcare facilities, and smart city initiatives—are implemented more efficiently by leveraging private expertise, technology, and innovation. As a direct investment mechanism, PPP not only strengthens the government's capacity to deliver public goods but also creates a multiplier effect on economic growth, job creation, and sustainable development (Ng & Loosemore, 2007).

Public-Private Partnership (PPP) signifies a cooperative agreement between governmental bodies and the private sector. The development of smart cities in ASEAN urban areas offers considerable advantages in economic, social, environmental, and governance aspects. From an economic perspective, smart cities draw investments and promote digital entrepreneurship by establishing technology-driven ecosystems, exemplified by Cyberjaya, Malaysia, which has emerged as a center for digital startups and multinational ICT firms (S. B. Lim, J. A. Malek, M. F. Y. M. Yussoff & T. Yigitcanlar, 2021). Smart cities socially boost citizen involvement and enhance access to public services via digital platforms, as illustrated by Jakarta Smart City, where apps such as JAKI and Qlue support participatory governance and immediate service delivery (A. Voorwiden, 2021). From an environmental perspective, the incorporation of intelligent infrastructure like smart mobility, energy-efficient structures, and low-carbon efforts promotes sustainable urban growth and aids in reducing climate change effects, which are crucial for fast urbanizing cities in ASEAN (ASEAN Secretariat, 2018).

From a governance standpoint, smart cities enhance transparency, accountability, and efficiency in public administration via data-informed decision-making and unified platforms. For instance, Singapore's Smart Nation project has emerged as a regional benchmark in employing digital technology to optimize services, decrease bureaucratic inefficiencies, and improve quality of life (Chourabi et al., 2012).

The development of smart cities in ASEAN urban areas offers significant advantages for governance, economic advancement, social integration, and sustainable environmental practices. From an economic perspective, smart cities draw investment and enhance digital entrepreneurship ecosystems, as demonstrated by Cyberjaya, Malaysia, home to numerous startups and international ICT firms (S. B. Lim, J. A. Malek, M. F. Y. M. Yussoff & T. Yigitcanlar, 2021). Socially, they enhance citizen involvement and service access via digital governance systems, as seen in Jakarta Smart City, where tools like JAKI facilitate participatory governance and immediate public service oversight (A. Voorwiden, 2021). Environmentally, intelligent infrastructure like low-carbon systems, smart transportation, and energy-efficient structures helps decrease emissions and promote sustainable urban living, essential for the swiftly urbanizing cities in ASEAN (ASEAN Secretariat, 2018). From a governance standpoint, programs such as Singapore's Smart Nation demonstrate how cohesive data-driven systems boost transparency, accountability, and efficiency, thus enhancing quality of life (Chourabi et al., 2012).

These advantages, however, cannot be achieved without efficient cooperation between the public and private sectors. Public–private partnerships (PPPs) are essential in leveraging financial resources, technological knowledge, and innovative approaches that governments cannot solely supply. Effectively designed PPPs guarantee the implementation of cutting-edge infrastructure and digital systems while also incorporating principles of inclusivity and equity, facilitating wider societal engagement and distribution of benefits (Ng & Loosemore, 2007). Within the ASEAN framework, PPPs are crucial for addressing fiscal limitations, closing technological divides, and promoting cross-border knowledge sharing through the ASEAN Smart Cities Network. Consequently, the achievement of smart cities in ASEAN hinges on the effectiveness of

governments in creating transparent and accountable PPP frameworks that harmonize technological advancement with social equity and enduring sustainability.

Cyberjaya Smart City Initiative in Malaysia and Jakarta Smart City in Indonesia represent two different approaches to building smart cities in the ASEAN region. Cyberjaya was conceived as a greenfield project under the Multimedia Super Corridor (MSC) initiative in the late 1990s. Adopting a technology and digital economy-centric approach, Cyberjaya has successfully established itself with a robust innovation ecosystem around clusters such as smart mobility, smart healthcare and the digital creative industries. The city has developed into Malaysia's digital hub thanks to the extensive use of public-private partnerships (PPP), which has made it possible for a variety of technology companies and startups to get involved. More than 400 startups, hundreds of technology companies, and the widespread use of cashless transactions—more than 96% of retailers currently use digital payment systems—are all signs of success (S. B. Lim, J. A. Malek, M. F. Y. M. Yussoff & T. Yigitcanlar, 2021). Cyberjaya has established itself as a model of a tech-driven smart city that primarily depends on private investment and technological innovation as drivers of growth, bolstered by contemporary infrastructure like district cooling plants and a low-carbon city framework.

On the other hand, Jakarta Smart City developed in response to the intricate problems of a city, such as flooding, traffic jams, overcrowding, and ineffective bureaucracy. Jakarta Smart City, which was introduced in 2014, places a strong emphasis on governance-oriented strategies by integrating data from various agencies, improving public transparency, and encouraging citizen participation in decision-making. The use of public reporting platforms like Qlue and the JAKI application has increased community participation in real-time urban service monitoring. Despite this, Jakarta still faces a number of issues that limit the widespread use of smart city technologies, such as a lack of digital infrastructure, disjointed inter-agency data systems that make integration difficult, and gaps in citizens' digital literacy (A. Voorwiden, 2021).

In contrast to Jakarta, which prioritizes a governance-driven model that stresses transparency and citizen engagement, Cyberjaya concentrates on a PPP- and technology-based (tech-driven) model. In contrast to Indonesia, which still faces structural issues with funding, regulation, and bureaucratic coordination, Malaysia, with its more developed PPP regulations and comparatively stronger fiscal capacity, has been able to draw substantial private investment in digital infrastructure. As a result, smart cities are primarily positioned as a tool for governance reform.

Analytically, each model has its merits and drawbacks. Although Cyberjaya has established itself as a centre for innovation and technology, it remains more technologically developed without the associated social equity and inclusiveness. On the other hand, Jakarta has made advances in the areas of citizen engagement and governmental openness, but has poorly integrated public private partnerships and is still constrained by insufficient resources and uneven institutional frameworks. The lesson for all ASEAN smart cities is that they should incorporate the best features of each approach. Cyberjaya should increase the participatory and inclusive aspects of its development, and Jakarta should deepen the strategic engagement of public private partnerships. This is needed to ensure smart cities across ASEAN will be developed in a sustainable and inclusive manner, responsive to the needs of citizens, in the added dimension of the digital economy.

To enhance the contribution of public-private partnerships (PPPs) towards the advancement of smart cities in Cyberjaya and Jakarta, there is a need to create additional focused, comprehensive regulations beyond the technical and financial aspects of partnerships to include

the provisions on governance, inclusivity, and sustainabili ty. Here, the government of Malaysia and Indonesia, respectively, needs to improve cross-agency collaboration, the integration of administrative data, and the strengthening of civil service training which ultimately prepares them to handle advanced smart city public management. For Cyberjaya, the PPP contracts themselves should include compulsory inclusivity provisions where private partners are expected to build local capabilities, afford digital services, and integrate collapsible small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the tech value chain. Jakarta, on the other hand, needs to reduce and simplify administrative steps to achieve this goal (Ng&Loosemore, 2007).

Both cities must also consider the challenges of inclusivity. Even though Cyberjaya has created a tech-focused community, the advantages it provides are sometimes not fully enjoyed by all. On the other hand, Jakarta struggles to offer equitable and digitally infused services to its highly varied socio-economic population. Therefore, it is best to include explicitly defined social criteria, and for example, commitments to improve digital literacy, affordable digital connectivity, and citizen digital platforms to the balanced and inclusive social criteria for executing PPP contracts. This ensures that the social value generated by the fully focused private investment is a large enough social return in the citizen's quality of life (S. B. Lim, J. A. Malek, M. F. Y. M. Yussoff & T. Yigitcanlar, 2021).

Incorporating citizen participation is crucial for developing inclusive smart cities. By adopting Jakarta's governance-driven model, Cyberjaya can develop and institutionalize participatory mechanisms that include residents and civil society organizations in the planning and oversight of PPPs. On the other hand, Jakarta can further develop its citizen participation strategies by moving beyond basic feedback mechanisms, to joint co-creation sessions with private partners, which would make urban solutions more responsive to residents' actual needs (A. Voorwiden, 2021).

Another key area of focus is expanding the scope of PPP models to target the creation of sustainable digital ecosystems as opposed to only concentrating on physical infrastructure. Value addition through PPPs in digital healthcare, fintech, and inclusive education platforms would deepen the technology clusters in Cyberjaya. Conversely, Jakarta may pursue outcome-based PPP contracts to improve open data frameworks, interoperable ICT ecosystems, and smart mobility tools, as these would encourage the private sector to provide sustainable, accountable, and transparent outcomes to the partnership. Strengthening regional cooperation through the ASEAN Smart Cities Network (ASCN) would be mutually advantageous for Cyberjaya and Jakarta. Establishing ASEAN-wide PPP principles would mitigate regulatory ambiguity, unify the cross-border investment in digital infrastructure, and standardize the investment in cross-border digital infrastructure. An ASEAN PPP knowledge-sharing hub is another critically important infrastructure. It would foster reverse innovation among the cities: Cyberjaya could adopt Jakarta's governance-driven inclusivity framework, while Cyberjaya could adopt Jakarta's governance-driven inclusivity framework to strengthen citizen participation. Together, they could deliver unprecedented benefits to their communities by seamlessly integrating their respective ecosystems. -Cyberjaya's innovations and Jakarta's governance strategies indicate that both cities have the potential to develop smarter, more sustainable, and more inclusive urban ecosystems, which could serve as an example to other cities in the region looking to digitalise in the urban environment (ASEAN Secretariat, 2018).

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that public–private partnerships (PPPs) play a crucial role in driving governance and smart city development across the ASEAN region. The comparative analysis of Cyberjaya and Jakarta highlights that while PPPs enable access to financing, innovation, and improved service delivery, their effectiveness depends on clear regulatory frameworks, transparent governance, and meaningful stakeholder participation. The findings show that Cyberjaya advances through strong private sector involvement, whereas Jakarta prioritizes citizen-centered governance but faces institutional and infrastructure constraints. Ultimately, sustainable smart city development requires PPPs that balance technological progress with social equity. Economically, successful PPP implementation stimulates regional growth by attracting investment, expanding job opportunities, reducing government financial burdens, and strengthening competitiveness and resilience in urban economies.

Acknowledgements

The authors declare that no financial support or funding bodies were involved in the preparation of this paper.

References

ASEAN Secretariat. (2018). *ASEAN Smart Cities Network: ASEAN Secretariat report*. Jakarta, Indonesia: ASEAN Secretariat.

Chourabi, H., Nam, T., Walker, S., Gil-Garcia, J. R., Mellouli, S., Nahon, K., & Scholl, H. J. (2012). Understanding Smart Cities: An integrative framework. *2012 45th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2289–2297. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2012.615

Grimsey, D., & Lewis, M. K. (2004). *Public Private Partnerships: The Worldwide Revolution In Infrastructure Provision and Project Finance*. Cheltenham, Inggris : Edward Elgar Publishing.

Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2007). Public–Private Partnerships: An international performance review. *Public Administration Review, 67*(3), 545–558. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00736.x

Komninos, N. (2013). *Intelligent Cities: Innovation, Knowledge Systems and Digital Spaces*. UK : Routledge.

Lim, S. B., Malek, J. A., Yussoff, M. F. Y. M., & Yigitcanlar, T. (2021). Understanding and acceptance of smart city policies: Practitioners' perspectives on the Malaysian Smart City Framework. Sustainability, 13(17), 9559. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179559

Nam, T., & Pardo, T. A. (2011). Conceptualizing Smart City with Dimensions of Technology, People, and Institutions. *Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference: Digital Government Innovation in Challenging Times*, 282–291. https://doi.org/10.1145/2037556.2037602.

Ng, A., & Loosemore, M. (2007). Risk Allocation in The Private Provision of Public Infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*, *25*(1), 66–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.06.005.

Voorwiden. (2021). Technology and public-private partnerships in the smart city. *Law Innovation and Technology 13(2)*, 439–463. https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2021.1977213.