

Achievement Growth Performance (AGP): Definition, Connotation, Characteristics and its Value

Liu Liming¹, Noor Raihani Binti Zainol^{2*}, Julia Tan Yin Yin³

¹Faculty of Entrepreneurship and Business, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia; Student Affairs Department, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, China

²Faculty of Entrepreneurship and Business, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia

³Faculty of Language Studies and Human Development, Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia

***Email:** 373913264@qq.com

Abstract

This paper introduces Achievement Growth Performance (AGP), a novel and comprehensive concept that integrates students' motivation, continuous ability growth, and academic performance into a dynamic developmental framework. Unlike traditional performance metrics that solely concentrate on static academic achievement, AGP emphasizes a holistic growth trajectory, encompassing learning initiative, skill acquisition, personal development, and long-term educational outcomes. AGP is designed to comprehensively measure students' motivation for growth, their process of comprehensive development and enhanced abilities, and the overall quality improvement process and its ultimate outcomes. It transcends the conventional focus on a single metric of "academic achievement," highlighting that students should not only achieve specific results but also demonstrate comprehensive development. This concept is based on the integration of two established theories: achievement motivation theory, proposed by McClelland and Atkinson, and performance theory. AGP has significant potential to revolutionize performance methodologies and educational models by shifting the focus from simple "score ranking" to a comprehensive "growth trajectory". This document lays the groundwork for continuous investigation and application of this groundbreaking idea, suggesting further research could quantify AGP through metrics like a 'Growth Performance Index' and explore its adaptability in diverse cultural settings.

Keywords

Achievement Growth Performance, Motivational Integration, Growth Trajectory, Educational Assessment, Comprehensive Development

Submission: 23 August 2025; **Acceptance:** 22 September 2025 **Available online:** September 2025



Copyright: © 2025. All the authors listed in this paper. The distribution, reproduction, and any other usage of the content of this paper is permitted, with credit given to all the author(s) and copyright owner(s) in accordance with common academic practice. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, as stated in the website: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Introduction

In the evolving landscape of education, there is an increasing recognition that traditional measures of academic success—often static, outcome-focused, and rank-based—fail to capture the full spectrum of students' learning processes and developmental potential. Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) emerges in response to this gap, proposing a comprehensive framework that interlinks students' intrinsic motivation, their ongoing growth in skills and competencies, and measurable performance outcomes. Grounded in achievement motivation theory (McClelland & Atkinson, 1970) and performance management principles (Locke & Latham, 2002), AGP transcends the narrow confines of single-dimensional achievement metrics by emphasizing continuous, dynamic growth as the core of academic and personal development.

This paper aims to establish AGP as a distinct and valuable concept within educational research and practice. Through a synthesis of interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks, AGP is defined, its connotations are clarified, its historical development traced, and its key traits contrasted against traditional performance measures. The innovative value of AGP is underscored, particularly its role in shifting educational assessment towards growth trajectories and personalized learning pathways that foster student initiative and holistic development. The discussion culminates in highlighting AGP's practical implications and potential to guide educational policy, pedagogy, and student empowerment in increasingly diverse and complex learning environments.

Methodology

The methodology employed in this study is a systematic literature review combined with theoretical integration. Extensive and rigorous searches were conducted across multiple academic databases to gather existing literature on achievement motivation, performance management, and related constructs spanning psychology, management, and education disciplines. The review process followed established systematic review protocols, including explicit inclusion criteria and critical appraisal, ensuring comprehensive coverage and minimizing bias (Liberati et al., 2009; Snyder, 2019). Through synthesizing these diverse theoretical frameworks, the study constructs the AGP framework by identifying gaps in current knowledge and articulating the unique contributions and innovations AGP introduces. This approach grounds the AGP concept firmly in existing scholarship while offering a novel, integrated perspective on the interplay of motivation, growth, and performance, consistent with best practices for theory development in interdisciplinary research (Jesson et al., 2011; Webster & Watson, 2002).

Results and Discussion

Theoretical Background and Integration Logic

Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) is based on the integration of two established theories: achievement motivation theory and performance theory. Achievement motivation theory, proposed by McClelland and Atkinson, posits that the drive for success and the desire to prove one's ability are fundamental psychological needs that drive individuals to engage in goal-directed behavior (Elliot & Harackiewicz, 1996; Maehr & Sjogren, 1971). This theory has evolved into a

hierarchical model of approach and avoidance achievement motivation, in which mastery goals stem from achievement motivation and high ability expectancy, while performance avoidance goals stem from fear of failure and low ability expectancy (Elliot & Church, 1997). The integration of achievement motivation and goal structure provides a comprehensive framework for understanding achievement motivation, with motivation explaining energy arousal and goals guiding specific behavior (Thrash & Elliot, 2001). This integrative approach provides a more nuanced understanding of academic motivation, exploring both the intrinsic desire for success and the specific goals that guide achievement-oriented behavior (Elliot & Harackiewicz, 1996) (Elliot & Church, 1997). This theory provides a motivating impetus for AGP, emphasizing the importance of intrinsic motivation as the starting point for any achievement.

Performance theory, on the other hand, offers a results-oriented framework focused on measurable aspects such as goal achievement, efficiency, and quality. Theories such as goal-setting theory and key performance indicator (KPI) theory emphasize the need for clear, measurable goals and the importance of tracking progress to ensure accountability and improvement. This aspect of performance management is crucial for ensuring that efforts achieve tangible results. Performance management focuses on aligning individual and organizational goals to improve overall performance (Cokins, 2012). Goal-setting theory, a key component of performance management, argues that specific and challenging goals lead to better performance than vague ones (Heslin et al., 2008; Konstantara & Galanakis, 2022). Effective goal setting involves ensuring commitment, considering task complexity, and providing feedback (Heslin et al., 2008). Clear, measurable goals and progress tracking are crucial for accountability and improvement (Guerra-Lopez & Hutchinson, 2013). Research shows that setting challenging goals leads to better outcomes, especially when goals are clear and accompanied by feedback (Konstantara & Galanakis, 2022). To strengthen performance management systems, organizations should align performance measurement with strategic, tactical, and operational goals and use meaningful data to drive interventions and decisions (Guerra-Lopez & Hutchinson, 2013).

AGP integrates these two theories, filling a critical gap in both fields. Traditional performance management often overlooks the psychological mechanisms of motivation and overly focuses on extrinsic rewards and short-term results (Buchner, 2007; Denisi & Pritchard, 2006). While achievement goal theory holds a prominent position in educational research, it has undergone numerous revisions and debates regarding the role of different goal orientations (Senko et al., 2011). The integration of these approaches can bridge the gap between motivation and measurable performance improvement. By considering motivation as a driving force in resource integration, researchers can better understand the direction, intensity, and persistence of effort in the performance process (Findsrud et al., 2018). This integration ensures that motivational drivers are channeled toward continuous growth and sustainable performance improvement, addressing the limitations of pure achievement motivation and traditional performance management approaches (Buchner, 2007; Denisi & Pritchard, 2006) (Findsrud et al., 2018).

Definition and connotation

Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) is a comprehensive concept designed to comprehensively measure students' motivation and drive for growth and development during their education, the process of comprehensive development and enhanced abilities, and the overall

quality improvement process and its ultimate outcomes. It transcends the traditional focus on a single metric of "academic achievement," emphasizing that students should not only achieve specific results in their learning process, but also demonstrate significant progress and development in learning initiative, continuous improvement of abilities, acquisition of skills, personal growth, and long-term educational outcomes(Hanushek et al., 2012) (Steers & Spencer, 1977) (Yeo et al., 2023). This article draws on these previous findings on the definition and connotation of AGP. And its connotation of AGP encompasses several core dimensions, including performance, academic achievement, initiative in the learning process, improvement of abilities and acquisition of skills, personal growth, and long-term educational outcomes.

Performance is the main connotation of AGP. According to comprehensive theory, performance is a combination of people's ability, behavior and results (Fu, 2011). Academic achievement is also fundamental to the concept of AGP (Steers & Spencer, 1977).AGP focuses not only on the acquisition of knowledge but also on the cultivation of students' comprehensive abilities and the mastery of new skills. This process of "capacity improvement" and "skill acquisition" reflects students' potential for self-realization and self-transcendence and is a key indicator of their personal growth(Yeo et al., 2023). AGP encompasses the comprehensive development of students' cognitive, emotional, social, and behavioral aspects. It is a dynamic process that reflects the trajectory of students' gradual maturity, self-improvement, and individual development throughout the learning process(Hanushek et al., 2012) (Steers & Spencer, 1977).

Achievement Growth Performance focuses on the overall development and progress of students in terms of their academic, personal, and social growth. Achievement Growth Performance encompasses students' comprehensive quality and innovative capabilities. (Andrew J Martin, 2015) (Kumar, 2009) (Khikmatullayevna, 2024). In this context of the study, Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) refers a comprehensive concept that encompasses intellectual, moral, physical, aesthetic, and practical development, aligning with the global trend towards holistic education. This approach emphasizes the cultivation of well-rounded individuals who can contribute meaningfully to society. The integration of various growth dimensions into education systems is crucial for fostering such development. Spillane explores building education systems to support holistic student development, focusing on intellectual, physical, emotional, social, cultural, and moral development(Spillane, 2022). Rodgers (1990) defines student development as the ways students grow or increase their developmental capabilities through enrollment in higher education, considering cultural, social, emotional, motivational, cognitive, developmental, biological, and temporal contexts(Rodgers, 1990).

Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) has been discussed and elaborated on in previous studies. Hanushek et al. (2012) explicitly mentions "achievement growth" and places it in the context of "student performance," providing direct support and reference for the AGP concept(Hanushek et al., 2012). The document cites the 1983 report "A Nation at Risk," which stated that "the educational foundations of our society are being eroded by a growing tide of mediocrity, which threatens our future" (Hanushek et al., 2012). This report profoundly reveals the challenges facing the American education system at the time and indirectly emphasizes the urgency of focusing on both student achievement and growth to address mediocrity and promote higher levels of performance. In addition, the state student performance data(Hanushek et al., 2012) included in this literature also provides an empirical basis for measuring and comparing students'

“achievement” and “growth” in different regions, further confirming the existing concept of “achievement growth” as an important dimension of measuring student performance.

However, AGP did not have these connotations from the very beginning; it has undergone a long process of development and evolution. Historically, AGP was narrowly defined through the Traditional Academic Perspective, focusing on cognitive development and measurable outcomes such as exam scores and academic rankings, often overlooking other dimensions of student development (Martin, 2024). In recent decades, the Holistic Education Perspective has expanded AGP to include non-academic aspects of growth, such as emotional intelligence, social skills, and physical well-being, emphasizing the importance of nurturing students' overall potential and preparing them for life beyond the classroom (Molina-Espinosa et al., 2024). In China, the Cultural and Contextual Perspective further enriches AGP by grounding it in the educational philosophy of comprehensive development of moral, intellectual, physical, aesthetic, and labor education. This perspective reflects the cultural emphasis on cultivating individuals who are not only academically proficient but also morally upright, physically healthy, artistically cultivated, and skilled in practical labor, aligning AGP with the broader goals of holistic education and societal contribution (Molina-Espinosa et al., 2024). Research indicates that physical activity enhances cognitive abilities and academic performance, further supporting the holistic view of AGP (Иванюта et al., 2024).

Key Characteristics

The Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) concept has been reimagined to meet the needs of a new era and possesses key characteristics that distinguish it from traditional performance management approaches.

The first characteristic is Incentive Embedding. AGP improvement stems from students' inherent sense of achievement. A growth mindset, which recognizes the malleability of intelligence, combined with incentives that support autonomy, has been shown to positively impact student achievement, particularly among high-achieving students (Chao et al., 2017). A growth-oriented approach to academic development emphasizes individual progress rather than comparative achievement, addressing concerns that traditional assessment systems may hinder some students from experiencing success (Andrew J. Martin, 2015). Furthermore, self-reflection and growth goal setting have been shown to positively impact academic outcomes, and both direct academic goals and indirect goals (such as stress management) contribute to improved performance and well-being (Travers et al., 2015).

The second characteristic is Growth Orientation. AGP assessments incorporate both absolute progress and relative challenge. This dual focus ensures continuous student growth and development. Research on growth orientation in educational settings reveals its positive impact on student outcomes. Growth orientation is associated with improved mathematics engagement and achievement at both student and classroom levels (Bostwick et al., 2020). This construct encompasses growth mindset and growth goals, contributing to students' academic well-being and positive functioning (Bostwick et al., 2022). While growth orientation aligns with mastery-focused learning, students often exhibit both mastery and performance orientations (Neel et al., 2025).

The third characteristic is Dynamic Adaptability. AGP is not static in university students. It adjusts its goals and strategies based on feedback from periodic achievements, forming an upward spiral of "learning-applying-optimizing." This dynamic adaptability enables AGP to flexibly adapt to actual circumstances to achieve optimal performance for university students. The Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) concept aligns with modern approaches to performance management in academic settings. Dynamic adaptability is a key feature, allowing for flexible goal-setting and strategy adjustment based on periodic achievements and feedback (Cosenz, 2014). This approach enables optimal performance for university students through a continuous cycle of learning, applying, and optimizing (Lu et al., 2021). These systems aim to enhance learning effectiveness by adapting to the dynamic changes of the modern world and meeting the demands of higher education (Levin & Isakova, 2024).

Through the above analysis of AGP characteristics and compared with traditional performance, more prominent features of AGP are reflected. As to Drive Source, Traditional performance is driven by external rewards such as salary increases and promotions, while AGP is driven by a combination of intrinsic achievement needs and external feedback. In the aspect of Time Perspective, traditional performance focuses on short-term, static outcomes such as quarterly assessments, whereas AGP emphasizes continuous, dynamic growth over time, as seen in the evolution of capability maps. Considering Failure Tolerance, Traditional performance often punishes failure through measures such as financial penalties, while AGP views failure as an opportunity for learning and improvement, providing resources and support for failure analysis and growth. For specific comparisons, please refer to Table 1.

Table 1. The comparison between Traditional Performance and AGP

| Comparison | Traditional Performance | AGP |
|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Driver | External rewards | Internal achievement needs + external feedback |
| Time Frame | Periodic static results | Continuous dynamic growth (capability mapping) |
| Failure Handling | Punitive | Constructive |

Application Value of AGP in Educational Practice

AGP has great potential to change educational practice. By shifting the focus from a simple "score ranking" to a comprehensive "growth trajectory," AGP aligns with research emphasizing the developmental and longitudinal nature of educational attainment, highlighting that growth trajectories better capture changes in student learning and achievement over time than static ranking alone. Research on Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) highlights its potential to transform educational assessment by focusing on growth trajectories rather than static rankings. Heck (2006) found that modeling students' growth trajectories can reveal positive school outcomes missed by proficiency-status approaches(Heck, 2006).

AGP encourages a more holistic view of student development, consistent with educational research that advocates for integrating cognitive, emotional, and social competencies to prepare students for complex future challenges, emphasizing well-rounded growth beyond academic achievement alone (Singh & Morkel, 2024).

Teachers can design "AGP Portfolios" to record students' choices and breakthroughs in challenging tasks, providing a detailed and personalized record of growth. Literature on portfolio assessment shows that such practices foster critical thinking, learner autonomy, and provide meaningful documentation of individual learning progress and accomplishments (BaniYounes, 2014).

In addition, AGP can encourage students to create their own "AGP Growth Agreement," set personal goals, and track their progress, thereby stimulating personal initiative. Research on growth goal setting demonstrates that this approach promotes perseverance, higher aspirations, and academic self-regulation, with significant positive impacts on student motivation, engagement, and outcomes (Bloom, 2013; Centre for Education & Evaluation, 2021; Watkins, 2019).

This approach can not only improve academic performance but also promote personal development, skill acquisition, and long-term educational success, as supported by a growing body of evidence linking academic skills and self-regulated learning initiatives to both enhanced academic achievement and broader personal growth (de Henau, 2024).

Finally, For policy makers, educational administrators, parents, employers, teachers, and individual students, the new connotation and principles of Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) provide significant guidance in formulating policies, implementing management, arranging teaching, supporting upbringing, strengthening school-enterprise cooperation, and facilitating students' autonomous and comprehensive development. To school management and teaching, comprehensive management approaches that prioritize collective efficacy, shared practice, and continuous improvement among teachers lead to better educational outcomes and professional development. (Berry et al., 2019) For policy and school-enterprise cooperation, Studies highlight that fostering close collaboration between schools and enterprises is a key focus of modern education reform and talent development policy, and policies must support collaborative, integrated models to enhance talent quality and meet market demands (Zhang, 2024). As respect of parental and community involvement: Community and parental engagement, supported by effective policy measures, is positively linked to school improvement and student success. Autonomous and comprehensive development: Research shows that student autonomy and self-regulated learning significantly contribute to the development of competencies, initiative, and lifelong learning skills, which are increasingly emphasized in global educational reforms (Berry et al., 2019). These findings collectively underscore the guiding value and practical necessity of adopting AGP principles across all stakeholder levels in education and employment ecosystems.

Conclusion

Achievement Growth Performance (AGP) presents a novel paradigm, seamlessly blending motivation, personal development, and tangible output into a coherent system. Its core purpose revolves around translating intrinsic drive into enduring contributions. The distinctive character of AGP is shaped by three fundamental elements.

Firstly, AGP dismantles the traditional division between internal drive and external success by incorporating the concept of 'growth' as the unifying factor.

Secondly, AGP stresses that performance assessment should consider the progressive expansion of an individual's skills and competencies, not merely the immediate deliverables.

Thirdly, it offers a people-focused, adaptable framework for both organizations and individuals to flourish.

Further research could entail quantifying AGP through metrics like a 'Growth Performance Index', alongside investigating its adaptability within diverse cultural settings. AGP promises to revolutionize performance methodologies and educational models, and this document lays the groundwork for continuous investigation and application of this groundbreaking idea.

Acknowledgements

There is no grant or funding bodies to be acknowledged for preparing this paper. Thanks to my supervisor for the strong guidance, to the UMK for her generous support, and to my relatives and friends for their care and concern.

References

- BaniYounes, Z. B. M. (2014). The students are Also Invited: Portfolio Assessment and its Impact on EFL Learners' Critical Thinking, Growth Mindfulness, and Autonomy. Scientific research. <https://callej.org/index.php/journal/article/view/491>
- Berry, B., Bastian, K. C., Darling-Hammond, L., & Kini, T. (2019). How Teaching and Learning Conditions Affect Teacher Retention and School Performance in North Carolina. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/sites/default/files/product-files/Leandro_Working_Conditions_REPORT.pdf
- Bloom, M. (2013). Self-regulated learning: Goal setting and self-monitoring. *The Language Teacher*, 46. https://jalt-publications.org/sites/default/files/pdf-article/37.4tlt_art2.pdf
- Bostwick, K. C. P., Collie, R. J., Martin, A. J., & Durksen, T. L. (2020). Teacher, classroom, and student growth orientation in mathematics: A multilevel examination of growth goals, growth mindset, engagement, and achievement. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 94, 103100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103100>
- Bostwick, K. C. P., Martin, A. J., Collie, R. J., & Durksen, T. L. (2022). Motivation in context: A multilevel examination of growth orientation across one year. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2022.101435>

- Buchner, T. W. (2007). Performance management theory: A look from the performer's perspective with implications for HRD. *Human Resource Development International*, 10, 59 - 73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678860601170294>
- Centre for Education, S., & Evaluation. (2021). Growth goal setting – what works best in practice: A practical guide for schools. *NSW Department of Education* <https://education.nsw.gov.au/content/dam/main-education/about-us/educational-data/cese/2021-growth-goal-setting-practical-guide.pdf>
- Chao, M. M., Visaria, S., Mukhopadhyay, A., & Dehejia, R. (2017). Do Rewards Reinforce the Growth Mindset?: Joint Effects of the Growth Mindset and Incentive Schemes in a Field Intervention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 146, 1402–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000355>
- Cokins, G. (2012). Performance Management Overview. In *Performance Management* (pp. 39-40). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119205548.part2>
- Cosenz, F. (2014). A Dynamic Viewpoint to Design Performance Management Systems in Academic Institutions: Theory and Practice. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 37, 955 - 969. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2014.952824>
- De Henau, J. (2024). The Impact of Academic Skills Development on Student Outcomes in Higher Education – Literature Review. https://wwwctl.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/ctl/documents/media/academic_skills_literature_review.pdf
- Denisi, A. S., & Pritchard, R. D. (2006). Performance Appraisal, Performance Management and Improving Individual Performance: A Motivational Framework. *Management and Organization Review*, 2, 253 - 277. <https://www.shrm.org/content/dam/en/shrm/credentials/shrm-certification/teaching-resources/southwood-school-student-workbook-final.pdf>
- Elliot, A. J., & Church, M. A. (1997). A hierarchical model of approach and avoidance achievement motivation. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 72(1), 218-232. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.72.1.218>
- Elliot, A. J., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (1996). Approach and avoidance achievement goals and intrinsic motivation: A mediational analysis. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 70(3), 461-475. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-3514.70.3.461>
- Findsrud, R., Tronvoll, B., & Edvardsson, B. (2018). Motivation: The missing driver for theorizing about resource integration. *Marketing Theory*, 18, 493 - 519. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593118764590>
- Fu, Y., & Zhang, D. (2011). *Performance Management* (3rd ed.). Tsinghua University Press.
- Guerra-Lopez, I., & Hutchinson, A. (2013). Measurable and Continuous Performance Improvement: The Development of a Performance Measurement, Management, and Improvement System. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 26, 159-173. <https://doi.org/10.1002/piq.21151>
- Hanushek, E. A., Peterson, P. E., & Woessmann, L. (2012). Achievement Growth: International and US State Trends in Student Performance. PEPG Report No.: 12-03. Program on Education Policy and Governance, Harvard University. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED534652.pdf>
- Heck, R. H. (2006). Assessing School Achievement Progress: Comparing Alternative Approaches. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 42, 667 - 699. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X06293718>

- Heslin, P. A., Carson, J. B., & Vandewalle, D. (2008). Practical Applications of Goal Setting Theory to Performance Management. Social Science Research Network. [https://books.google.com.hk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=4WHHDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA89&dq=Heslin,+P.+A.,+Carson,+J.+B.,+%26+Vandewalle,+D.+\(2008\).+Practical+Applications+of+Goal+Setting+Theory+to+Performance+Management.+Social+Science+Research+Network.+&ots=ZP1pV6b-tT&sig=0k6TYIv_7shcEpL_-BC1cNXegk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.com.hk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=4WHHDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA89&dq=Heslin,+P.+A.,+Carson,+J.+B.,+%26+Vandewalle,+D.+(2008).+Practical+Applications+of+Goal+Setting+Theory+to+Performance+Management.+Social+Science+Research+Network.+&ots=ZP1pV6b-tT&sig=0k6TYIv_7shcEpL_-BC1cNXegk&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- Jesson, J., Lacey, F. M., & Matheson, L. (2011). Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques. <https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4913523>
- Khikmatullayevna, M. M. (2024). Improving Technologies for the Development of Personal and Professional Qualities of Students. *American Journal of Social Sciences And Humanity Research*, 4(03), 78-91. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ajsshr/Volume04Issue03-11>
- Konstantara, M. K., & Galanakis, M. (2022). Organizational & Industrial Psychology in the 21st Century—Goal-Setting Theory and Performance Management: A Systematic Literature Review. *Psychology*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2022.135052>
- Kumar, A. (2009). Personal, academic and career development in higher education: SOARing to success. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203938348>
- Levin, S. M., & Isakova, A. I. (2024). Adaptive Education as a Key Element for Improving the Effectiveness of Educational Processes. *Digital Transformation*, 30(2), 5-10. <https://doi.org/10.35596/1729-7648-2024-30-2-5-10>
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*, 339. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>
- Lu, B., Deng, Y., Yao, X., & Li, Z. (2021). Learning Goal Orientation and Academic Performance: A Dynamic model. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 30, 329 - 344. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1177/106907272111043437>
- Maehr, M. L., & Sjogren, D. D. (1971). Atkinson's Theory of Achievement Motivation: First Step Toward a Theory of Academic Motivation? *Review of Educational Research*, 41(2), 143-161. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543041002143>
- Martin, A. J. (2015). Growth approaches to academic development: Research into academic trajectories and growth assessment, goals, and mindsets. *The British journal of educational psychology*, 85 2, 133-137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12071>
- Martin, A. J. (2024). Growth goals: A review of the construct, predictors, consequences, and intervention. *Advances in Motivation Science*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.adms.2024.03.001>
- Molina-Espinosa, J. M., Suárez-Brito, P., Gutiérrez-Padilla, B., López-Caudana, E. O., & González-Mendoza, M. (2024). Academic performance as a driver for the development of reasoning for complexity and digital transformation competencies. *Frontiers in Education*, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1426183>
- N.V. Ivaniuta, & M.M. Koriukaiev. (2024). Physical Activity as a Means of Enhancing Students' Academic Performance. *Scientific Journal of National Pedagogical Dragomanov University Series 15 Scientific and Pedagogical Problems of Physical Culture (Physical Culture and Sports)*, 8(181), 87–90. [https://doi.org/10.31392/udu-nc.series15.2024.8\(181\).16](https://doi.org/10.31392/udu-nc.series15.2024.8(181).16)

- Neel, J. A., Hedgpeth, M.-W., & Armitage-Chan, E. A. (2025). Achievement Goal Orientation and Curricular Engagement in Veterinary Students. *Journal of veterinary medical education*, e20240054. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jvme-2024-0054>
- Rodgers, R. F. (1990). *Student development* (Vol. 2nd ed.,). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Senko, C., Hulleman, C. S., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2011). Achievement Goal Theory at the Crossroads: Old Controversies, Current Challenges, and New Directions. *Educational Psychologist*, 46, 26 - 47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.538646>
- Singh, D., & Morkel, J. D. (2024). Holistic Student Development Powering the Student Journey: A Model for Graduate Attributes, Curriculum, and the Second Transcript. *Creative Education*, 15(9). <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2024.159109>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Spillane, A. D. V. P. D. J. P. J. P. (2022). *Transforming Education for Holistic Student Development: Learning from Education System (Re)Building around the World*. Center for Universal Education at The Brookings Institution. 68. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED626329.pdf>
- Steers, R. M., & Spencer, D. G. (1977). The role of achievement motivation in job design. *Journal of applied psychology*, 62(4), 472. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.62.4.472>
- Thrash, T. M., & Elliot, A. J. (2001). Delimiting and Integrating Achievement Motive and Goal Constructs. In A. Efklides, J. Kuhl, & R. M. Sorrentino (Eds.), *Trends and Prospects in Motivation Research* (pp. 3-21). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47676-2_1
- Travers, C., Morisano, D., & Locke, E. A. (2015). Self-reflection, growth goals, and academic outcomes: A qualitative study. *The British journal of educational psychology*, 85 2, 224-241. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12059>
- Watkins, V. (2019). *The Effects of Goal Setting and Data Tracking on Student Performance Northwestern College*. https://nwcommons.nwciowa.edu/education_masters/121/
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS quarterly*, xiii-xxiii. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4132319>
- Yeo, S., Funkenbusch, P., & Askari, H. (2023). Variability Estimation in a Nonlinear Crack Growth Simulation Model With Controlled Parameters Using Designed Experiments Testing. *Journal of Verification, Validation and Uncertainty Quantification*, 8(3), 031005. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4064053>
- Zhang, C. (2024). *Theoretical and Empirical Research on Improving the Quality of Higher Vocational College Enterprise Cooperation Based on PDCA Cycle*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202419003007>