

The Simulation and Analysis of Erosion Corrosion of CO₂ Pipelines -A Review

Yuli Panca Asmara¹, Muhammad Izzat Nor Ma'arof¹,

¹INTI International University, Faculty of Engineering and Quantity Surveying,
Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

***Email:** yuli.pancaasmara@newinti.edu.my

Received: 21 December 2021; **Accepted:** 25 May 2022; **Published:** 20 June 2022

Abstract: Oil and gas production activities use pipeline to distribute raw materials of oil from reservoir to the other processing facilities. These raw materials of oil/crude oils composes elements such as CO₂ gas and solid particles which are potential to damage pipe wall through erosion corrosion reactions. Unpredicted design gives fatal impact to the operational process and interrupt continuity of oil distributions. Therefore, it needs corrosion prediction to manage integrity strategies in oil companies. Considering multiple effects created by various corrosive factors, fundamental knowledge to understand corrosion mechanism is important to study in designing corrosion prediction software. To date, numerous corrosion predictions models with different approaches have been developed by oil and gas companies. This paper reviews the applications of fundamental theories of corrosion used by software (ECE, Norsok, Freecorp) in calculating erosion corrosion rate of carbon steel pipes. The concept of existing corrosion software is discussed. Parameters used and range of conditions are also studied. From the results of studies, it can be notified that corrosion predictions software have a prospective tool for determining degradation rate of carbon steel pipes in future. They also have benefits in selecting materials for pipelines and predicting service life of the pipes accurately.

Keywords: erosion corrosion, corrosion model, flow rate, carbon steel pipelines.

Introduction

Oil productions involve the pipelines to transport much volumes of oil from the oil reservoir to the other facilities for processing. The composition of oil varies depending on the location, age of the oil field and also the depth of the well. Raw of oil comprises mixed with chemical in the form of liquid, solid and gas phases (Zaini et al., 2014; Wei sun et al., 2006; Videm., 1994; Pawel et al., 2018). The mixtures are dangerous if the liquid carries chemical such as CO₂, H₂S mercury and Cl, HF, naphthanic, acetic or solids (sand) (Martin et al., 2009; Asmara et al., 2014, Norasma et al., 2011). Corrosion can cause localized damages in metals surfaces (Silverman et al., 1988). Corrosion can be accelerated when the cracks present (Asmara et al., 2013). In oil and gas environments, the dominant elements causing corrosion are CO₂ gas and H₂S gas (Michail et al., 2002; Sutjipti., 2017; Asmara et al., 2011). Corrosion caused by CO₂ and H₂S gases are called acid corrosion and sour corrosion, respectively (Asmara et al., 2015). The presence of solid particles and corrosion products affect erosion in pipe materials (Asmara et al., 2016). Mechanical effects due to water motion which causes impingement leads to metal removal and material abrasion



(Eisenberg et al., 1954). Combination between mechanical and electrochemical effects impact on metallic integrity. This complexity of CO₂ corrosion is crucial aspect in constructing CO₂ corrosion model efficiently (Martin et al., 2009; Asmara et al., 2014). Current challenges of existing models are optimizing simultaneous interaction among variables to achieve accurate results in predicting corrosion process.

- **Flow-Induced Corrosion**

Flow-induced corrosion is a type of corrosion caused by a combination of mechanical and electrochemical effects. Mechanical effects due to water motion causes impingement that leads to metal removal and material abrasion. Water that flows to the surface can wear the corrosion product film or create shear stress to the surface. Thus, corrosion will occur faster (Eisenberg., 1954; Silverman, 1988). Corrosion rate also can increase due to effects of differences in velocity turbulence across the surface. Parallel flow can also reduce thickness of the boundary layer, thus allowing active species to reach the metal surface quickly. Parameters that influence flow induced corrosion are hydrodynamic boundary layer and rate of momentum transfer from the bulk to the wall. In this condition, corrosion may be controlled by the rate of mass transfer of a reactant or the rate of corrosion products (William et al., 1975)

The uses of rotating cylinder electrode to simulate flow induced corrosion Rotating Cylinder

Electrode (RCE) has been used widely to simulate flow happening in the pipeline (Silverman, 1988). RCE is an alternative corrosion test that can be used to simplify flow induced corrosion phenomena from flow loop system. By using the disk rotating rate on RCE, fluid flow effects on corrosion can be simulated in the laboratory and it is possible to control the hydrodynamic conditions that occurs on the surface of the metal sample.

- **Turbulent and mass transport in RCE experiments**

At high rotation flow, the solution flow will have complex mechanism creating several model flows. The shear stress on the sample surface becomes significant to form turbulent flow. The transition from laminar flow to turbulent flow can be related to Reynold's number. This condition can be a factor that governs corrosion behavior as an effect of oxygen transport. Corrosion reaction occurs at the solution through diffusion mechanism. Thus the current can be limited by rate of diffusion reactions. For the maximum of concentration gradient, the diffusion limited current density can be written as (Silverman, 1988):

$$i_L = -FD \left(\frac{c_{bulk} - c_{x=0}}{\delta} \right) \quad (1)$$

Where: i_L is limiting current for anodic reaction, C_{bulk} is bulk concentration of cathodic current, δ is diffusion layer thickness, D is coefficient of diffusion and F is Faraday's constant.

As reported by Eisenberg (Eisenberg, 1954) the most commonly accepted description for RCE mass transport, particularly, the mass transfer coefficient, K_m (cm s^{-1}) to a rotating cylinder is given by the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} K_m &= (D/d_{cyl}) Sh \\ &= (D/d_{cyl}) (0.0791 Re^{0.7} Sc^{0.356}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where the diffusivity, D (cm^2s^{-1}), is usually taken as the diffusion coefficient for the molecule or ion undergoing mass transport, and Sh and Re are the dimensionless Sherwood's and Reynold's numbers, respectively.

Wall shear stress for RCE

Shear stress is a stress, which is either parallel or tangential to the surface of a material. The physical quantity of shear stress is measured in force divided by area. In fluid flow, fluid moving along a surface will cause a shear stress on that surface. The shear stress can be expressed as (Silverman, 1988):

$$\tau_w = \mu \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} \quad (3)$$

Where μ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid, u is the velocity of the fluid along the boundary and y is the height of the boundary.

The turbulent flow at the RCE induces a wall shear stress on the surface of the cylinder. Again, Eisenberg reported a well-accepted equation for the wall stress, τ_{cyl} ($\text{g cm}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$):

$$\tau_{cyl} = 0.0791 \rho Re^{-0.3} U_{cyl}^2 \quad (4)$$

Where τ_{cyl} is wall stress, ρ is density, Re is Reynold's number and U_{cyl} is velocity.

• Accuracy of RCE

Figure 1. presents effect of rotation speed on corrosion rate studied using RCE. Data from RCE is compared with data calculated using software. As can be seen from the Figure 1, there are confirmed that increasing flow rate caused increasing corrosion rate. However, RCE data calculated corrosion rate higher than Freecorp software (14). RCE states that increasing flow, corrosion rate continues increase, while freecorp finds that the highest corrosion rate occurs at 1000 rotation speed. Freecorp (14) indicates that when rotation speeds are more than 1000 rpm, corrosion rate will remain constant. Freecorp was included limiting current density in calculating corrosion rate which make corrosion rate remain constant.




Figure 1. Effects of flow on corrosion rate as conducted with ECE experiments and calculated by FreeCorp software prediction.

Corrosion software in predicting erosion corrosion of CO₂ pipelines

- **Norsok**

Norsok is manufactured by the Norwegian Oil Industry Association and Federation of Norwegian Manufacturing Industries. This corrosion calculation program calculates the corrosion rate based on the input CO₂ as the dominant contaminant, and does not specifically calculate the effects of O₂ and H₂S. This model is calculated empirically by involving parameters: temperature, shear stress, CO₂ fugacity, pH, wall shear stresses, and temperatures from 20 to 160°C. The model calculates pH and wall shear stress. The effect of acetic acid is not account for in this model, but it is still valid to predict corrosion rate if the concentration of acetic acid is less than 100 ppm.

$$CR_t = K_t \times fCO_2^{0.62} \times (S/19)^{0.146+0.0324\log(fCO_2)} \times f(pH)t \quad (5)$$

Where CR is the corrosion rate (mm/yr), K_t is the constant for the temperature t , fCO_2 is the fugacity of the CO₂ (bar), S is Wall shear stress (Pa), $f(pH)t$ is the pH factor at temperature.




Figure 2. Comparison erosion corrosion as calculated using Norsok and experiments.

- **FreeCorp model**

Freecorp applies electrochemical corrosion reactions in calculating corrosion rate. The model study oil flow in a steady state and transient condition based on bulk water chemistry. It include the formation of any kind of surface corrosion product layers. The two main elements cover in electrochemical corrosion of mild steel due to aqueous CO_2 and H_2S . The software consider additional homogeneous reactions of species of organic acids such as formic acid (HCOOH) and propionic acid ($\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$) and acetic acid (CH_3COOH). Validation of freecorp using data experiments showed a good agreement and satisfy in interpretation corrosion behavior. Data experiments using polarization and Tafel test was conducted in dynamics condition.




Figure 3. Comparison between the experimental model and Freecorp (CO_2 solutions at 35°C , 1 bar).

The effects of flow rate on corrosion rate of carbon steel in 2% mol CO₂ and 10% H₂S at pH 4 and temperature 40°C are presented in Figure 3. The data were calculated using Norsok and Freecorp software. From Figure 3, both calculations show an increase in corrosion rate with the increase of rotation speed. Both data predict that corrosion erosion continuously increase with increasing flow rate of water. This trend is similar to the corrosion rate happened until 8 m/s of flow rate.




Figure 4. Comparison of effects of flow rate on corrosion rate calculated by Norsok and Freecorp.

• Electronic Corrosion Engineering (ECE)

Electronic Corrosion Engineering (ECE) Method The ECE program is a model for predicting internal corrosion that occurs in carbon steel pipes. The resulting corrosion model explains the corrosion behavior due to the presence of water contained in CO₂ gas, H₂S gas, acetic acid and bicarbonate salt. ECE proposes a corrosion prediction expression using corrosion reactions and mass transfer effects. The mass transfer represents the main part of the dependence on flow velocity and pipe diameter. In ECE, they develop corrosion prediction by involving several variables such as gas fugacity, formation of protective films, effect of ferrous ions, presence of oil, effect of condensing water, and effect of multiple phase. They proposed a corrosion prediction expression as :

$$V_{cor} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{V_r} + \frac{1}{V_m}} \quad (6)$$

Where, V_r is corrosion reaction and V_m is mass transfer effect

Electrochemical model

Based on electrochemical theories, in solution contains CO₂ gas, mechanism of CO₂ corrosion involves anodic dissolution of iron and the cathodic reduction of undissociated carbonic acid. However, when any other species (HAc) is present, those species will influence corrosion and affects the corrosion mechanisms. The model combines between thermodynamics and electrochemical process.

Anodic Reactions

In anodic reactions, there is dissolution of metal. The mechanism is activation control. Anodic charge transfer in carbon steel is expressed as (Asmara et al., 2011):

$$i_{(Fe)} = i_{Fe}^o \exp \left[\frac{-\alpha_{Fe} F (E - E_{Fe}^o)}{RT} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$i_{Fe}^o = i_{Fe}^* a_{OH} a_{H_2O}^{1.6} \quad (8)$$

Cathodic Reactions

In acidic solutions, the reduction of H⁺ is the dominant cathodic reaction. There are two possibilities reactions in cathodic sites (Wei sun, 2006). Which are diffusion limiting current density and activation current density. Activations current density are given by expression (Wei sun, 2006):

$$i_{H,a} = i_H^o \exp \left[\frac{-\alpha_H F (E - E_H^o)}{RT} \right] \quad (9)$$

The exchange current density is given by

$$i_H^o = i_H^* a_H^{0.5} a_{H_2O}^{2.2} \quad (10)$$

Where a_H is activation of hydrogen ions and a_{H_2O} is activation of H₂O.

The limiting current density results from diffusion-limited transport of protons to the metal surface and can be calculated as

$$i_{H,\lim} = K_m F a_H \quad (11)$$

where km is the mass transfer coefficient. The value of km can be calculated if the flow regime, diffusion coefficient of H⁺ ions and solution viscosity are known.




Figure 5. Effects of water flow rate at condition: 1 bar, 2 % CO₂, 10% H₂S, pH 4, 40°C. as calculated based on electrochemical theories.

Second- order model regression in predicting erosion corrosion

Corrosion process can be constructed mathematically from mechanistic theory by using fundamental concepts of electro chemical reactions. The best mathematical formulas for describing corrosion process are Second- order model regression. There is a curvature of general second order model which is expressed as (Asmara et al., 2017):

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \sum \beta_{ij} X_i X_j + \varepsilon \quad (12)$$

\hat{Y} = predicted value (independence factors)

Where Y = response that can fit the following linear, quadratic, or cubic regression models, β = regression constant, X = main effect of dependence factors, and XX = interaction effects between dependence factors. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show validation of second degree regression with data from experiments at pH5 and pH 6 respectively. Both showed a good agreement and satisfy in interpretation erosion corrosion process.




Figure 6. Effect of rotation speed on corrosion rate; a comparison between model and Martin's experiments (saturated CO₂ solutions, 60°C, 20 ppm HAc, and pH 5).




Figure 7. Effect of rotation speed on corrosion rate; a comparison between model and Martin's experiments (saturated CO₂ solutions, 40 ppm HAc, pH 6, and Temperature 60°C).

Erosion corrosion simulation of bend pipes

CFD software is used to predict the maximum erosion rate in three types of elbows (Asmara et al., 2016). Table 1 shows CFD simulation calculation of corrosion erosion at 45°, 90° and 180° elbow at 0.5 m/s. Based on the table, the highest corrosion erosion happened at 45° pipeline elbow. From investigation using CFD, it is caused by significantly increase of shear stress at 45° compared 90° and 180°. In the other words, corrosion erosion is dominated by impact of shear stress. Investigating critical location, it shown that highest corrosion erosion was occurred at the wall that facing toward the fluid inlet which shows that synergy of erosion and corrosion is occurred simultaneously. Therefore, the erosion area is more critical when corrosion is initiated while the surface has start eroded. The combined effects of erosion and corrosion have shown a significant in increasing corrosion process. This synergism effects, as proposed by many researchers (Wei sun et al., 2006), was due to erosion and/or corrosion which occurs simultaneously. It was accepted that effect of flowing solid particles causes impingement to the protective layer on the metal

surface which resulting exposure of metal surface. The more exposed metal surface area, the more corrosive environment in contact with metal to enhance corrosion rates.

Table 1. Comparison between Simulation resul (Asmara et al., 2016)

Elbow types	Velocity (m/s)	Maximum corrosion erosion rate (mm/year)	
		CFD result	Experimental result
	0.5		
45°		1.9	2.3
90°		1.5	1.1
180°		1.6	1.9

Theoretical result* of maximum erosion rate at 45° pipeline elbow with several fluid velocities as compared with experimental works [8].

Conclusion

In general, all models confirm that there is synergism effect between corrosion and erosion. The corrosion process is accelerated by erosion process. The erosion comes from liquid motion which impinge metal. The presence of aggressive elements causes faster corrosion reaction. In general, the use of software will help to estimate erosion corrosion. The models are able to simulate erosion corrosion process efficiently. It shows a good relationship among the variables known. Using software, the effects of variables will be easier to identify accurately. Mathematical operations are able to solve complex interactions among variables. In CO₂ corrosion, polynomial models are the most widely accepted and provide best fit regression. The appropriateness of the models have proven to estimate CO₂ erosion corrosion accurately. Thus, using predictive models, empirical studies can be minimized. Predictive model has a potent tool to investigate effects of CO₂ corrosion in several unknown variables.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to INTI International University for providing facilities for the research.

References

- Asmara, Y. P., & Che Ismail, M. A. (2009). Statistics approach for the prediction of CO₂ corrosion in mixed acid gases. *Corrosion and Materials*, 34(4), 25–30.
- Asmara, Y. P., & Ismail, M. (2011). Study combinations effects of HAc in H₂S/CO₂ corrosion. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 11, 1821–1826.
- Asmara, Y. P., et al. (2014). Effects of pre-strain of carbon steel on stress-strain diagram in CO₂ environment with the presence of H₂S. *International Journal of Material Science Innovations*, 2(3), 52–58.
- Asmara, Y. P., et al. (2015). Electrochemical behaviour of high stress steel (AISI 4340) in CO₂ environments with the presence of H₂ gas. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 695, 98–101.
- Asmara, Y. P., et al. (2016). Predicting effects of corrosion erosion of high strength steel pipelines elbow on CO₂-acetic acid (HAc) solution. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing.
- Asmara, Y. P., et al. (2017). Application of response surface methodology method in designing corrosion inhibitor. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing.
- Asmara, Y. P., Ismail, M. S., & Jamludin. (2016). Predicting effects of corrosion erosion of high strength steel pipelines elbow on CO₂-acetic acid (HAc) solution. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing.
- Asmara, Y. P., Juliawati, A., & Sulaiman, A. (2013). Mechanistic model of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of carbon steel in acidic solution with the presence of H₂S. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. IOP Publishing.
- Asmara, Y. P., Juliawati, J., Jaafar, K. A., Azuar, J. P., & Siregar, K. (2014). Effects of pre-strain of carbon steel on stress-strain diagram in CO₂ environment with the presence of H₂S.
- Asmara, Y. P., Razak, N. A. A., & Salwani. (2014). Analysis of corrosion prediction software for detection corrosion in oil and gas environment containing acetic acid, CO₂ and H₂S gases. In *International Symposium on Corrosion & Materials Degradation (ISCMD)*.
- de Waard, C., & Milliam, D. E. (1975). Carbonic acid corrosion of mild steel. *Corrosion*, 31(5), 131–135.
- Eisenberg, M., Tobias, C. W., & Wilke, C. R. (1945). Ionic mass transfer and concentration polarisation on rotating electrodes. *Electrochemical Society*, 101, 306.
- FREECORP V1 Technical Book. Institute for Corrosion and Multiphase Technology, Ohio University Research Park, Athens, Ohio.
- Joosten, M. W., Kaye, J., & Hembree, J. W. (2022). Organic acid corrosion in oil and gas production. *Corrosion*. NACE International, Houston, 2294.
- Martin, C. F. (2009). Prediction CO₂ corrosion with the presence of low concentration acetic acid in turbulent flow conditions (Master's thesis, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS).
- Nor Asma, R. B. A., Asmara, Y. P., & Ismail, M. (2011). Study on the effect of surface finish on corrosion of carbon steel in CO₂ environment. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 11, 2053–2057.
- NORSOK Standard M-506. (n.d.). CO₂ Corrosion Rate Calculation Model. Norwegian Technology Standards Institution, Oslo, Norway.
- Robert, D. Y. (1999). Simulation of CO₂/H₂S corrosion using thermodynamic and electrochemical models. *Corrosion*, NACE International, Houston, p. 31.
- Silverman, D. C. (1988). Rotating cylinder electrode – Geometry relationships for prediction of velocity sensitive corrosion. *Corrosion*, 44(1), 42.
- Wojnarowski, P., Czarnota, R., Włodek, T., Janiga, D., Stopa, J., & Kosowski, P. (2018). The possibility of CO₂ pipeline transport for enhanced oil recovery project in Poland. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 259, 01001.