APPROVAL

DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED MODAL ANALYSIS APPLICATION METHODOLOGY FOR BASE PAN FINITE ELEMENT MODEL VALIDATION

bу

Thomas Tan Siang Yaw

A project dissertation submitted to the Faculty of Engineering & Quantity Surveying INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) in Mechanical Engineering

Approved:

Dr. Seyed Amirmostafa Jourabchi

Project Supervisor

INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY NILAI, NEGERI SEMBILAN January 2017

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, hereby declare that this report is my own independent work except as specified in the references and acknowledgements. I have not committed plagiarism in the accomplishment of this work, nor have I falsified and/or invented the data in my work. I am aware of the University regulations on Plagiarism. I accept the academic penalties that may be imposed for any violation.

Signature	Mund
Name	Thomas Tan Siana Yaw
Matrix No	1/2000937
Date	13-6-2017

ABSTRACT

Modal analysis is used to helps mechanical engineer to analysis the dynamic properties and behaviour of a structure in term of vibrational excitation with the purpose of finding out roots of vibration failure. Vibration failure is normally caused by the natural frequency and mode shape. When resonance occurs, in serious case, it will lead the structure to crack, and therefore, this research is to develop a modal analysis for a cabinet RHS which is a part for air-conditioner under the free-free vibration. Theoretical result and experimental result of the mode shape for the cabinet RHS was found in this project and the correlation was done in order to compare between the theoretical result and experimental result. NX software was used to do the geometry clean up and finite element analysis (FEA) on the cabinet RHS in order to find out the mode shape theoretically, moreover, pre-test was also done by using NX software to choose the sensor point in order to proceed to conduct experimental modal analysis (EMA). The experimental result of mode shape of cabinet RHS was obtained by using Impact Hammer Experiment Modal Analysis (EMA) and Shaker Experiment Modal Analysis (EMA) in Brüel & Kjær software and equipment. MAC correlation was done in NX software in order to compare the natural frequency between the FEA result and the EMA result. The result for this project can take as a reference for the air conditioning industry to modify and validate the cabinet RHS in order to enhance the quality of the product as well as to reduce the cost and time consumption by not doing any further testing and investigation for a physical prototypes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to thank INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY to give me a very great opportunity to do my Final Year Project in my degree's final year.

Secondly, I would like to thank to my internal supervisor Dr Amir to guide me during the FYP period. I am appreciated that Dr Amir can answer all my question when I was confused or in doubt.

Thirdly, I would like to thank my external supervisor Mr Yap Kim Haw to provide me a lot of knowledge regarding the vibration. Mr Yap provide me a lot of SOP for the simulation and experiment as well as the online journal and report regarding the vibration for me to learn.

Lastly, I would like to thank my research partner Mr Edwin Chung Keng Mun and Mr Cheah Soon Yi to work together with me in the FYP period. They always assisted me when I was in needed.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS - 4
List of Figures
List of Tablesxii
List of Abbreviationsxii
Nomenclaturexx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background
1.2 Problem Statements
1.3 Objectives of the project
1.4 Scope of the Research
1.5 Report Organization
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Finite Element Modal Analysis6
2.3 Experimental Modal Analysis
2.4 Modal Validation and Correlation20
2.5 Summary25
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY26
3.1 Overview
3.2 Methodology Flow Chart
3.3 Experiment Setup and Equipment28
3.3.1 RHS Cabinet
3.3.2 Modal Exciter
3.3.3 Force Transducer
3.3.4 Data Acquisition Hardware31

3.3.5 Power Amplifier	32
3.3.6 Piezoelectric CCLD Accelerome	eters32
3.3.7 Impact Hammer	33
3.3.8 Uniaxial Accelerometers	34
	nalyzer35
3.3.10 Calibrator	36
3.3.11 Coaxial Cable	37
3.3.12 Elastic Cord	38
3.3.13 Wax	
3.4 Introduction of Experimental Softwar	e for EMA39
3.4.1 Brüel & Kjær PULSE Labshop	39
3.4.2 Brüel & Kjær PULSE Reflex	40
3.5 Simulation Setup	41
3.5.1 Introduction of Simulation Softv	vare for FEA41
3.6 Procedure of Research	42
3.6.1 Finite Element Modal Analysis .	42
3.3.1 Experimental Modal Analysis	46
3.3.1 Correlation	49
CHAPTER 4 PRELIMINARY RESULT	AND DISCUSSIONS51
4.1 Result obtained from FEA for the cabi	net RHS51
4.2 Modal Validation	54
4.2.1 Pre-test planning	54
4.2.2 Impact hammer test	56
4.2.3 Shaker Experimental Modal Ana	lysis65
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	79
	79
5.2 Future work	90

CHAPTER 6 REFERENCE		 8
Appendix A Gannt Chart	÷	 8:

vii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Perforated plate with triangle penetration plate in Finite Element Modal7
Figure 2.2: Perforated plate with square penetration plate in Finite Element Modal7
Figure 2.3: The first 6 mode shape of the violent which obtained by FEA
Figure 2.4: The first 6 mode shape of the radial flow impeller which obtained by9
Figure 2.5: The wind turbine blade's degree of freedom
Figure 2.6: The first 4 mode shape of a structure of seismic behaviour11
Figure 2.7: The first 5 mode shape of historic masonry tower
Figure 2.8: The setup of a 1/10-scaled model of a metro vehicle car body for EMA15
Figure 2.9: The linear system of EMA by utilizing ambient excitation
Figure 2.10: The setup of shaker test for a car body-in-white
Figure 2.11: The obtained result of natural frequency and the mode shape with EMA approach of <i>L</i> -shaped cantilever plate
Figure 2.12: 2D illustration of MAC value
Figure 2.13: Correlation result of the copper assembly tube
Figure 2.14: MAC result of tennis rackets
Figure 3.1: The overall process flow chart of modal analysis
Figure 3.2: RHS cabinet
Figure 3.4: Type 8230-001 DeltaTron Force Tansducer30
Figure 3.5: LAN-XI data acquisition hardware - Brüel & Kjær Type 3160 and Type
3050 LAN-XI FFT Analysers
Figure 3.6: Type 2.720 Bruel & Kiær Power Amplifier 32

Figure 3.7: Type 4508b - Piezoelectric CCLD Accelerometer
Figure 3.8: Type 8204 Impact hammer
Figure 3.9: Type 4517-C uniaxial accelerometer
Figure 3.10: Type 3053 FFT analyser LAN-XI35
Figure 3.11: Accelerometer calibrator
Figure 3.12: Coaxial cable with 10-32 UNF M connectors
Figure 3.13: Elastic cord
Figure 3.14: Wax
Figure 3.15: Brüel & Kjær PULSE Labshop MTC Shaker
Figure 3.16: Brüel & Kjær PULSE Labshop MTC Hammer
Figure 3.17: Brüel & Kjær PULSE Reflex's interface
Figure 3.18: The logo and image of Siemens PLM software- NX-Nastran 8.541
Figure 3.19: Thin shell of cabinet RHS42
Figure 3.20: Meshing of cabinet RHS
Figure 3.21: Pretest planing with 17 sensor and exitation points
Figure 3.22: Pretest planing with 19 sensor and exitation points
Figure 3.23: geometry of trace-line linked with all the sensor and excitation point45
Figure 3.24: The setup before conducting the hammer test
Figure 3.25: Geometry with pretest and trace line planning in MTC hammer47
Figure 3.26: Setup RHS cabinet for shaker test
Figure 3.27: Example of the correlation result in MAC plot form
Figure 4.1: 1 st mode shape which obtained from FEA
Figure 4.2: 2 nd mode shape which obtained from FEA

Figure 4.3: 3 rd mode shape which obtained from FEA53
Figure 4.4: 4 th mode shape which obtained from FEA53
Figure 4.5: Geometry with the selected point in the first pre-test planning54
Figure 4.6: Geometry with the selected sensor and excitation points in the second pretest planning
Figure 4.7: Obtained mode shape 1 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 10.10 Hz
Figure 4.8: Obtained mode shape 2 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 17.27 Hz
Figure 4.9: Obtained mode shape 3 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 36.95 Hz
Figure 4.10: Obtained mode shape 4 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 41.68 Hz
Figure 4.11: correlation result for Impact hammer test with the first pre-test planning
Figure 4.12: Obtained mode shape 1 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 11.89 Hz
Figure 4.13: Obtained mode shape 1 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 17.86 Hz
Figure 4.14: Obtained mode shape 1 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 36.25 Hz
Figure 4.15: Obtained mode shape 1 from impact hammer test for pre-test planning 1 with the natural frequency of 40.64 Hz
Figure 4.16: correlation result for Impact hammer test with the second pre-test planning.

Figure 4.17: Location of the force transducer with 1 excitation point
Figure 4.18: Obtained mode shape 1 from shaker test with 1 excitation point with the natural frequency of 9.08 Hz
Figure 4.19: Obtained mode shape 2 from shaker test with 1 excitation point with the natural frequency of 14.85 Hz
Figure 4.20: Obtained mode shape 3 from shaker test with 1 excitation point with the natural frequency of 35.48 Hz
Figure 4:21: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 1 excitation point with the natural frequency of 47.49 Hz
Figure 4.22: Correlation result for shaker test with 1 excitation point
Figure 4.23: Geometry in MTC shaker software with the location of the force transducer at the 2 excitation point
Figure 4.24: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 2 excitation point at the natural frequency of 11.62 Hz
Figure 4.25: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 2 excitation point at the natural frequency of 14.94 Hz
Figure 4.26: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 2 excitation point at the natural frequency of 34.73 Hz.
Figure 4.27: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 2 excitation point at the natural frequency of 48.26Hz71
Figure 4.28: Correlation result for shaker test with 2 excitation point72
Figure 4.29: Geometry in MTC shaker software with the location of the force

Figure 4.30: Obtained mode shape 1 from shaker test with 4 excitation point at the	
natural frequency of 12.46 Hz	15
Figure 4.31: Obtained mode shape 2 from shaker test with 4 excitation point at the	
natural frequency of 15.75 Hz	15
Figure 4.32: Obtained mode shape 3 from shaker test with 4 excitation point at the	
natural frequency of 35.96 Hz	15
Figure 4.33: Obtained mode shape 4 from shaker test with 4 excitation point at the	
natural frequency of 39.92 Hz	16
Figure 4.34: Correlation result for shaker test with 4 excitation point	77

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: result of loaded and unloaded mode shape and natural frequency14
Table 2.2: The result of first 3 type of the Experimental Modal Analysis test17
Table 3.1: Type 4825 Brüel & Kjær Modal Exciter's description
Table 3.2: Type 8320-001 DeltaTron Force Transducer's description30
Table: 3.3: Brüel & Kjær FFT Analyzer LAN-XI Modules description31
Table 3.4: Type 4508b - Piezoelectric CCLD Accelerometer's description33
Table 3.5: Type 8204 impact hammer's description
Table 3.6: Type 4517-C uniaxial accelerometer's description
Table 3.7: Type 3053 FFT analyser LAN-XI's description
Table 3.8: Hand-held accelerometer calibrator's description37
Table 4.1: first four mode shape which obtained from FEA
Table 4.2: The location of all the nodes in the first pre-test
Table 4.3: The location of all the nodes in the second pre-test planning
Table 4.4: The comparison result of Natural Frequencies and MAC value of hammer
test for first pre-test planning with FEA result
Table 4.5: The comparison result of Natural Frequencies and MAC value of hammer
test for second pre-test planning with FEA result64
Table 4.6: The comparison result of Natural Frequencies and MAC value of shaker
test with 1 excitation point with FEA result
Table 4.7: The comparison result of Natural Frequencies and MAC value of shaker
test with 2 excitation point with FEA result
Table 4.8: The comparison result of Natural Frequencies and MAC value of shaker
test with 4 excitation point with FEA result.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EMA Experimental Modal Analysis

R&D Research And Development

MAC Modal Assurance Criterion

CAD Computer-Aided Design

CAE Computer-Aided Engineering
FRF Frequency Response Function

FE Finite Element

FEA Finite Element Analysis

FEM Finite Element Method

FFT Fast Fourier Transform

DOF Degrees Of Freedom

COMAC Coordinate Modal Assurance Criterion

NOMENCLATURE

	Ŧ
Symbol	Definition
f_{si}	natural frequency from EMA
f_{Ti}	natural frequency rom FEA
W_i	coefficient of importance
$\psi_{A_{ij}}$	j^{th} value of mode of ψ_{A1}
$\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle A_{2j_i}}$	j^{th} value of mode ψ_{A2}
ψ^*	complex conjugate value
$\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{I}}$	mode shapes from FE model
Ψ_A	mode shapes from reduced DOFs model
ψ^*	complex conjugate value