INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Engineering and Quantity Surveying

INVESTIGATION OF SOIL IMPROVEMENT BY USING BITUMEN EMULSION FOR SUBGRADE

Keshantran A/L Murugan B.Eng(Hons) in Civil Engineering

Miss Nurul Ain Binti Ibrahim

Final Year Project

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

This project report entitled Investigation of Soil Improvement by Using Bitumen Emulsion for Subgrade is prepared and submitted by Keshantran A/L Murugan I14004778 as partial fulfillment of the requirement for Bachelor of Engineering (HONS) in Civil Engineering, INTI International University.

APPROVED BY:

Date: 04.05.2018

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the final year project is based on my original work except for quotations and citation, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY or other institutions.

Signature

Student Name

Keshantran A/L Murugan

Student ID

I14004778

Date

04.05.2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report would not have been possible without the help of so many people in so many ways. Before I begin, I would like to express my gratitude for all those who directly or indirectly, knowing or unknowningly helped me in finishing this this report. I also acknowledge the role of God in finishing my report because without his guidance and protection, I would not be able to complete this task of mine.

Any academic assignments could not be completed without the guidance of teachers. I am extremely happy to mention my special thanks to my supervisor Miss Nurul Ain Binti Ibrahim, my guide without whom this report will not be completed and to all the FEQS lecturers for their meaningful guidance and encouragement.

My sincere gratefulness to my parents for their tremendous contribution and support both morally and financially towards the completion of this research. I also would like to express my sincere thanks to all my respondents without their kind coorperation this report would not have been possible.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank all my friends Ibrahim Abu Baker, Hassan Javed, Shahbaz Ahmed Memon, and Sindhu Bapoo for their continous support and valuable contribution towards the successful completion of this report.

ABSTRACT

Soil is significant material found in amplitude by nature, which makes up the base of any construction that is executed. The types of construction carried out are always dependent on soil. Subgrade soil is the most important part of a road pavement. Stabilization is commonly needed if strength of soil is poor. Increase in subgrade strength may lead to economy in the structural thicknesses of a pavement. The main objective of this experimental study is to improve the properties of the clay soil by adding bitumen emulsion (RS1) and to achieve the optimum percentage of bitumen emulsion required to obtain minimum strength required for subgrade layer as set by JKR. An attempt has been made to use bitumen for improving the strength of clay soil which expressed in terms of CBR and UCS values that may prove to be economical. In this study, the whole laboratory work revolves around the basic properties of soil and its strength in terms of CBR and UCS. This is followed by deciding with 0%, 1%, 2%, 3%, 4%, and 5% of stabilizer to show the variation in CBR and UCS value to achieve the best possible strength properties of clay soil with JKR specification. Based on the UCS analysis, 5% of bitumen emulsion stabilized with clay soil gives unconfined compressive strength of 985.91kN/m² and CBR analysis indicates that CBR value increased effectively up to 80% with increase percentage of bitumen emulsion from 0% to 5%. Hence, it has been observed that clay soil are suitable material to be use as subgrade layer by stabilized with bitumen emulsion.

Keywords: Clay soil, UCS, CBR, Bitumen emulsion

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	ii
\$	STUDENT'S DECLARATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
	LIST OF FIGURES	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.1.1 Soil as Subgrade	1
	1.1.2 Bitumen Emulsion for Soil Stabilization	3
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	4
	1.3 Research Objective	5
	1.4 Scope of Study	5
	1.5 Significant of Study	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Origin of Soil	7
	2.2 Surface Texture of Soil	7
	2.3 Clay Soil	9
	2.4 Characteristic of Soil	10
	2.4.1 Specific Gravity	10
	2.4.2 California Bearing Ratio	11
	2.4.3 Standard Proctor	12

	2.5 Researcher Results	12
	2.6 Stabilizer	14
	2.7 Stabilization with Cement	14
	2.8 Stabilization of Soil with Lime-Cement and Lime-Bitumen	15
	2.8.1 Lime-Cement	16
	2.8.2 Lime-Aasphalt	16
	2.9 Stabilization by Geo-textile and Fabrics	16
	2.10 Stabilization with Bitumen Emulsion	17
,	2.10.1 History of Bitumen Emulsion	17
	2.10.2 Classification of Bitumen Emulsion	18
	2.10.2.1 Setting Time	19
	2.10.2.2 Surface Charge	19
	2.10.2.2.1Anionic Emulsions.	20
	2.10.2.2.2 Cationic Emulsions	21
	2.10.3 Breaking Characteristic of Emulsions	22
	2.11 Context Review by Researcher	24
	2.11.1 Research Techniques	24
	2.11.2 Review Interpretation	26
3	METHODOLOGY	27
	3.1 Introduction	27
	3.2 Approach	27
	3.3 Method Outline	29
	3.4 Sample Collection	31
	3.5 Experiment Description	32
	3.5.1 Particle Size Distribution	32
	3.5.1.1 Apparatus	33
	3.5.1.2 Procedure	34
	3.5.2 Moisture Content	36
	3.5.2.1 Apparatus	36
	3.5.2.2 Procedure	36
	3.5.3 Standard Proctor Test	37
	3.5.3.1 Annaratus	20

3.5.3.2 Procedure	38
3.5.4 Atterberg Limits	39
3.5.4.1 Apparatus of Liquid Limit	40
3.5.4.2 Procedure of Liquid Limit	40
3.5.4.3 Apparatus of Plastic Limit	41
3.5.4.4 Procedure of Plastic Limit	41
3.5.5 California Bearing Ratio	42
3.5.5.1 Procedure	43
3.5.6 Unconfined Compression Strength	44
3.5.6.1 Apparatus	45
3.5.6.2 Procedure	45
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	47
4.1 Introduction	47
4.2 Particle Size Distribution	47
4.3 Moisture Content	48
4.4 Standard Proctor Test	49
4.5 Atterberg Limit	51
4.6 California Bearing Ratio	53
4.7 Unconfined Compression Strength	55
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	58
5.1 Conclusion	58
5.2 Recommendation	59
CE	61
ES	63
Particle Size Distribution	63
Moisture Content	63
Proctor Test Analysis Data	64
Atterberg Limits	70
California Bearing Ratio Data Analysis	- 71
Unconfined Compression Strength Data Analysis	77
	3.5.4 Atterberg Limits 3.5.4.1 Apparatus of Liquid Limit 3.5.4.2 Procedure of Liquid Limit 3.5.4.3 Apparatus of Plastic Limit 3.5.4.4 Procedure of Plastic Limit 3.5.5 California Bearing Ratio 3.5.5.1 Procedure 3.5.6 Unconfined Compression Strength 3.5.6.1 Apparatus 3.5.6.2 Procedure RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Particle Size Distribution 4.3 Moisture Content 4.4 Standard Proctor Test 4.5 Atterberg Limit 4.6 California Bearing Ratio 4.7 Unconfined Compression Strength CONCLÜSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 5.1 Conclusion 5.2 Recommendation EE Particle Size Distribution Moisture Content Proctor Test Analysis Data Atterberg Limits California Bearing Ratio Data Analysis

LIST OF FIGURES

		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Figure 2.1		Shape of Soil Particles (Das, 2010)	9
Figure 2.2		CBR Results (Verma, 2015)	13
Figure 2.3		Load Distribution (Jha, 2013)	15
Figure 2.4		A Mixture of Bitumen Emulsion	17
Figure 2.5		Typical Anionic Emulsifying Agent (Zayed, 2017)	21
Figure 2.6		Typical Cationic Emulsifying Agent (Zayed, 2017)	22
Figure 2.7		A Depiction of an Anionic of the Application	
		(Phua, 2017)	23
Figure 2.8		A Depiction of Cationic of an Application	
		(Phua, 2017)	23
Figure 3.1		Project Flow Chart	28
Figure 3.2		Experiment Flow Chart	30
Figure 3.3	414	Soil Sample Collection Site	31
Figure 3.4		Soil Sample and Bitumen Collection	31
Figure 3.5		Arrangement of Sieve	34
Figure 3.6		Mechanical Sieve Shaker Equipment	35
Figure 3.7		Compaction Mold	39
Figure 3.8		Penetration of Cone	41
Figure 3.9		3mm of Plastic Limit	42
Figure 3.10		CBR Testing	44
Figure 3.11		UCS Testing	46
Figure 4.1		Sieve Analysis Result	48
Figure 4.2		Proctor Test Graph	50
Figure 4.3		MDD vs OMC (Goswami, 2014)	51
Figure 4.4		Casagrande Plasticity Chart	52

Figure 4.5	Liquid Limit Graph	52
Figure 4.6	CBR Curves	54
Figure 4.7	UCS Results	55
Figure 4.8	UCS Graph	56

LIST OF TABLES

		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Table 2.1:		Standard Sieve sizes (Das, 2010)	8
Table 2.2:		General Specific Gravity of Soil Particles	
		(Omotoso et al., 2012)	11
Table 2.3:		General Values of CBR (Omotoso et al., 2012)	11
Table 2.4:		Type of Settings (Padhi, 2016)	19
Table 3.1:		Particle Size Classification System (Das, 2010)	32
Table 3.2		USCS Table (Das, 2010)	33
Table 3.3		Standard Sieve Size (Das, 2010)	35
Table 3.4		Minimum Aamount of Moist Sample (Das, 2010)	37
Table 3.5		General Values of CBR (Omotoso et al., 2012)	43
Table 3.6		qu and Consistency Relationship (Das, 2010)	45
Table 4.1		Moisture Content	49
Table 4.2	***	Proctor Test Results	49
Table 4.3	Č.	CBR Results	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

CBR California Bearing Ratio

LL Liquid Limit

MDD Maximum Dry Density

OMC Optimum Moisture Content

PI Plasticity Index

PL Plastic Limit

PWD Public Work Department

UCS Unconfined Compressive Strength

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Soil is eroded due to a particular strong binder between the particles forming soil is unable anymore to hold pressure on it. Loads may be in the form of striking or sparkling of rains fall to the soil surface because of friction caused by water flow on the soil surface. Generally, the soil has the capability to control the pressure on it but then due to the heterogeneous soil characteristics, there is a type of soil, which has insufficient capacity. Minerals from the soil consist of elements and chemical compounds that may react with other chemicals that mixed with it (Garber *et al.*, 2009).

1.1.1 Soil as Subgrade

Soil is significant material found in amplitude by nature, which makes up the base of any construction that is executed (Chauhan, 2010). The types of construction carried out are always dependent on soil. The long lasting performance of the pavement structure down the years is conditional on the durability and strength of the subgrade soils used. Subgrade in-situ is a material that placed below the pavement structure. Achieving the satisfactory performance by using subgrade in-situ at the traffic that will be loaded with increasing environmental demands seldom provides supports. Though it is proven by facts that stabilization is a conventional possibility to enhance the properties of soil engineering, however determination of properties from the stabilization relocate widely due to the chemical contrast in concoction interaction that

takes place between the soil and utilized stabilizer. The thought of site-specific treatment required for these properties is compulsory which is by testing of soil stabilizer mixtures. The pavement laid by an embankment or cutting on a soil foundation which is commonly known as subgrade is to acknowledge the flexibility or the rigidness of the particular pavement. A pavement is a layer that is compacted, universally existing inhabitant soil laid underneath the pavement crust and lay outs a strong standing substructure to the pavement. In conjunction to traffic loads, the subgrade undergoes a certain amount of minimum level of stress. For the optimum use of subgrade soil to hold out against the stress caused by the traffic loads for a particular pavement, it has to be of adequate quality and appropriately compacted. Besides that, the characterization of the subgrade soil for its strength depends on the motives of various designs of whichever particular pavement. Soil stabilization is made reference to the enhancement of soil engineering properties. There are a couple of techniques for soil stabilization, which are the mechanical procedure and the chemical procedure (Jones *et al.*, 2010). Among the earth materials, found abundantly, the soil serves a lot of purpose. Soil origins can be discovered instantly with force supplies in the field, which commonly is form from the breakdown of rocks.

Subgrade soil is referred as the supporting soil beneath the pavement. The soil underneath that is not disrupted is termed as regular subgrade soil. The constrained expansion of typical types of substantial compactors usually compacts the soil which is then named as the compacted sub grade soil. Nowadays, the stabilization strategies utilized in every road construction projects is based on one or two of them. The process of soil compaction which is by adding the cement, lime or bituminous is suggested being included material strategy for the soil stabilization is the most common type of mechanical soil stabilization. The soil classification system, which is precisely invented for the construction of highways and roads, is termed as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), which is commonly exploited by the transportation engineers (Verma, 2015). To classify the soil properties, this system uses the atterberg limits, such as liquid limits and plasticity index. Various kind of additive from different classes is accessible yet only some of the additives are appropriate to add into any kind of soil. In common words, an additive play the role of a binder, once affected by moisture that causes the density of the soil to rise. Portland cement, quicklime or hydrated lime, fly ash, calcium chloride are the sorts of the most commonly used additives (Sajja et al., 2010). Mechanical soil stabilization suggests compacting. Amplification of categorized aggregate materials is compaction followed by the mechanical remediation, which are the procedures established to accomplish mechanical stabilization. Being an uncertain component, soil persuades the proper completion of projects that comes under constructions. This is because a structure that is purely land-based solely sustain upon the features of its substructure. Throughout the whole construction process, among the raw materials used in earliest part of the foundation is the soil. The procedure of maximizing the CBR strength of soil, which particularly serves the purpose of construction, turns out to be the primary motive of soil stabilization (Verma, 2015).

1.1.2 Bitumen Emulsion for Soil Stabilization

Bitumen droplet that is suspended in water is contained by emulsified bitumen. Surface treatment makes use of most emulsions. The emulsion has a better spreading capacity and penetration due to the low viscosity of the emulsion. To recognize if an emulsion is anionic or cationic, emulsifying agents are used in the bituminous emulsion (Verma, 2015). Bituminous droplet has positive charge for cationic emulsion and has negative charge for anionic emulsion. To specify how instantly water detaches from the emulsion or settle down is dependent on their setting time. After that, cationic and anionic emulsions are break down into three categories. The three categories are rapid setting, medium setting, and slow setting (Jones et al., 2010). Emulsion of rapid setting has a limited time before setting which makes it very dangerous to work with. For medium setting emulsion, it consumes approximately 6 hours, which offers sufficient time to appropriately place all the materials before setting which makes medium setting to be handled conveniently. The sort and portion of emulsifying agent performs as a controlling factor of the setting time. The significant difference that occurs in between a cationic and an anionic emulsion is that the anionic emulsion does not give up water as easily as compared to the cationic emulsion. In the long run, the asphalt stage would be separated from the water. The emulsion then breaks down inclusive of droplets that combine since Asphalt is insoluble in water.

The emulsion containing droplets of asphalt consist of small charges. An emulsifier and all ionisable segments that come with the asphalt itself are the wellspring of the charge. The two droplets hold fast to one another after they attain enough vitality to defeat the barrier and