

**INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY**

**Faculty of Engineering and Quantity Surveying**

**INVESTIGATION ON COMPRESSED STABILIZED EARTH BLOCK  
USING LATERITE SOIL STABILIZED WITH CEMENT**

**Thamendran A/L Magindran  
B.Eng (Hons) in Civil Engineering**

**Miss Nurul Ain Binti Ibrahim**

**Final Year Project**

**2018**

## **SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION**

This project report entitled Investigation on Compressed Stabilized Earth Block using Laterite Soil Stabilized with Cement is prepared and submitted by Thamendran A/L Magindran I14004712 as partial fulfillment of the requirement for Bachelor of Engineering (HONS) in Civil Engineering, INTI International University.

APPROVED BY:

.....

Date: 04/05/2018

## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the final year project is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY or other institutions.

Signature

:   
.....

Student Name

: Thamendran A/L Magindran

Student ID

: I14004712

Date

: 04/05/2018

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to thank my family and friends that helped on my life and get me in this intensity and individuals who support and share their knowledge with me.

I would like to convey my heartiest thanks to my Supervisor, Miss Nurul Ain binti Ibrahim to be informative and supportive during my final year project experience. I thank her for enormous patience throughout the development of the research and sharing knowledge in completing this study. I would also thank Dr Nurharniza binti Abdul Rahman (FYP Coordinator), to keep track and guidance throughout the project. I would like to thank Mr Faiz who ensured students to have a pleasant experience in the soil and concrete laboratory.

My heartfelt gratitude to all lecturers of civil engineering who made me to my present performance and this intensity during the last three successive years. Also for those who do not listed above but support me in different areas I would like to thank all.

## ABSTRACT

An increase towards urban development have created less importance towards rural areas as the community depending on economical and low cost housing. This study is based on determining geotechnical properties of laterite soil encountered in Sungai Buloh. Related journals and articles were reviewed to obtain sufficient experimental result informations. The objectives of this study were satisfied by a series of preliminary laboratory experiments. Comparison from past researchers were made for each experiments to justify and assure the results obtained are diverse and favourable. The main aim of this study is to obtain unconfined compressive strength, UCS of suitable natural geotechnical properties of laterite soil stabilized with cement in the production of CSEB. The findings from this research started with Natural Moisture Content, NMC of laterite soil ranged at 17.04%. Particle size distribution curve shows that the soil is well graded with proportions of aggregate less than 15%, sand and fine grains in the range more than 50%. Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index of laterite soil is 40.13%, 26.53% and 13.60% respectively. Plasticity Chart plot lies above A-line classifying as soil containing inorganic clays of low or medium plasticity (CL). Laterite soil attains Optimum moisture content, OMC at 16% and Maximum dry density, MDD at  $1.88 \text{ g/cm}^3$ . Samples prepared once the OMC and MDD were attained to be stabilized with (0%, 2.5%, 5%, 7.5% and 10%). The UCS of laterite soil with OMC and MDD stabilized with 10% cement content achieved  $3.4 \frac{\text{N}}{\text{mm}^2}$  after 28 days of curing. As the curing days increased, the compressive strength also increase among the stabilized samples. Calculations from experimental readings with necessary graphs and tables are summarized in Chapter 3. The results are presented in Chapter 4 with discussion. Each citation made are included in References. In depth data and tables are included in Appendix 1- 4.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>STUDENT'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>ix</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Significance of Study	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Characteristics of Laterite Soil	7
	2.2.1 General	7
	2.2.2 Laterite Soil formation	7
	2.2.3 Laterite Soil Composition	9
	2.2.4 Laterite Soil in Malaysia	10
	2.2.5 Typical Lateritic Profile	12
	2.2.6 Engineering Application of Laterite Soil	13
	2.3 Compressed Earth	14

2.3.1	Compressed Stabilized Earth Block (CSEB)	14
2.3.2	Stabilizing Earth Soil with Cement	16
2.3.3	Durability of Soil Cement Blocks	17
2.3.4	Advantages of Compressed Earth Block Stabilized with Cement	18
2.3.5	Construction using Compressed Earth Block Stabilized with Cement	19
2.4	General Laboratory Procedure	21
2.4.1	Particle Size Classification	21
2.4.2	Atterberg Limit Determination	22
2.4.3	Compaction Test	23
2.4.4	Water Absorption Rate	24
2.4.5	Durability Test	25
2.4.6	Curing Process	26
2.4.7	Direct Shear Test and Unconfined Compressive Strength Test (UCS)	27
2.5	Recommendations	29
2.6	Conclusions	30
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Sample Collection and Preparation	33
3.3	Determination of Physical Properties	34
3.3.1	Moisture Content Test	34
3.3.2	Particle Size Analysis	37
3.3.3	Atterberg Limit Test	41
3.3.4	Standard Proctor Test	47
3.4	Determination of Mechanical Properties	48
3.4.1	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test	48
3.5	Sample Preparation	51
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>54</b>
4.1	Introduction	54

4.2 Natural Moisture Content Analysis	54
4.2.1 Natural Moisture content Comparison and Discussion	55
4.3 Particle Size Distribution, Analysis and Classification	56
4.3.1 Particle Size Comparison and Discussion	57
4.4 Atterberg's Limit Analysis	58
4.4.1 Atterberg limit, Comparison and Discussion	59
4.5 Compaction Test Analysis	61
4.5.1 Compaction Test, Comparison and Discussion	62
4.6 Unconfined Compressive Strength Analysis	63
4.6.1 Unconfined Compressive Strength Comparison and Discussion	65
 <b>5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	 <b>71</b>
5.1 Conclusions	71
5.2 Recommendations	72
 <b>REFERENCES</b>	 <b>74</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1: Sieve Analysis</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2: Atterberg Limit Test</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3: Compaction Test</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4: Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)</b>	<b>81</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Figure 2. 1 :	Laterite Soil Distribution in West Malaysia (Eyles, 1970)	11
Figure 2. 2 :	Typical Lateritic Profile (Paramanathan <i>et al.</i> , 1983)	12
Figure 2. 3 :	Technical Classification of Stabilized Blocks ( UNIDO )	15
Figure 2. 4 :	Three Methods of Block Production (UNIDO)	19
Figure 3. 1 :	Flow Chart	32
Figure 3. 2:	Location of Soil Collection ( Sungai Buleh)	33
Figure 3. 3:	Depth of Soil Sample Collection	34
Figure 3. 4 :	Wet Laterite Soil Oven Dried	35
Figure 3. 5 :	Laterite Soil Before Oven Drying	35
Figure 3. 6 :	24 hours Oven Dried Laterite Soil	36
Figure 3. 7 :	Soil Description By Components (NYSDOT Geotechnical Design Manual, 2013)	40
Figure 3. 8 :	Mechanical Sieve Shaker	40
Figure 3. 9 :	Particle Size Distribution Curve using Sieve Analysis (ASTM D2487-11)	41
Figure 3. 10 :	Atterberg Limit (NYSDOT Geotechnical Design Manual, 2013)	42
Figure 3. 11 :	3mm Diameter Threads	43
Figure 3. 12 :	Cone Penetrometer	44
Figure 3. 13 :	Compacted Soil in Metal Cup	44
Figure 3. 14 :	Casagrande Plasticity Chart (ASTM D2487-11 and Casagrande, 1948)	45
Figure 3. 15 :	Standard Proctor Test	47
Figure 3. 16 :	UCS tester	49
Figure 3. 17 :	Soil Compacted into the Mould	50

Figure 3. 18:	Compacted Soil Extruder	50
Figure 3. 19 :	Portion of Compacted Soil Weighed and Batched for Oven Drying	51
Figure 3. 20 :	Soil Sample Preparation.	52
Figure 3. 21 :	Soil Sample Placed into Cylindrical Container	52
Figure 3. 22 :	Curing of Soil Samples in Accordance with Curing Period	53
Figure 4. 1 :	Particle Size Distribution Curve	56
Figure 4. 2 :	Casagrande Plasticity Chart Results	58
Figure 4. 3 :	Cone penetration vs moisture content graph	59
Figure 4. 4 :	Graph of Compaction Curve of Laterite Soil using Standard Proctor	62
Figure 4. 5 :	Soil Sample Before UCS Test	64
Figure 4. 6 :	Bulging Failure of Untreated Sample	64
Figure 4. 7 :	Shear Failure of Treated Sample	64
Figure 4. 8 :	Graph Comparison Effect of OPC Content on Compressive Strength	66
Figure 4. 9 :	Bar Chart Comparison of Effects of Curing Period on Compressive Strength	67
Figure 4. 10 :	Stress-Strain Relationship at 7 days of Curing	68
Figure 4. 11 :	Stress-Strain Relationship at 14 Days of Curing	68
Figure 4. 12 :	Stress-Strain Relationship at 28 Days of Curing	68

## LIST OF TABLES

	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Table 2. 1:	Water Absorption Test Result From Past Research	25
Table 2. 2 :	Durability Test Result From Past Research	26
Table 2. 3 :	Brick requirement as per Malaysian Standard (MS 76:1972)	28
Table 2. 4 :	Concrete Block Requirements as per IS: 2185-1 (2005)	29
Table 3. 1:	Standard Methods for Particle Size Classification of Soil ( Soil Mechanics, UMass Lowell, 2015)	37
Table 3. 2 :	Standard Sieve Numbers and Its Sizes (Soil Mechanics, UMass Lowell, 2015)	38
Table 3. 3 :	USCS Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487-11)	39
Table 3. 4 :	USCS – Fine Grained Classification Based on Liquid Limit and Plasticity Index (ASTM D2487, ASTM D2488 and Casagrande, 1948)	46
Table 4. 1 :	Natural Moisture Content of Laterite Soil	55
Table 4. 2 :	Natural Moisture Content Comparison Values with Past Researchers	55
Table 4. 3 :	Particle Size Classification and Analysis	56
Table 4. 4 :	Atterberg's Limit Comparison of Laterite Soil with Past Researchers	60
Table 4. 5 :	Compaction Test Result From Past Research	63
Table 4. 6 :	UCS Result of Laterite Soil with Various OPC % and Curing Period	69
Table 4. 7 :	Compressive Strength Result at 28days Curing Period from Past Research	69

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>w</i>	Moisture content
<i>LL</i>	Liquid Limit
<i>PL</i>	Plastic Limit
<i>PI</i>	Plasticity Index
<i>NMC</i>	Natural moisture content
<i>OMC</i>	Optimum moisture content
<i>OPC</i>	Ordinary Portland Cement
<i>MDD</i>	Maximum dry density
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	British Standard
CSEB	Compressed Stabilized Earth Block
IS	Bureau of Indian Standard
NIS	Nigerian Industrial Standard
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 General

Soil is formed through the change of underlying minerals affected by chemical, physical and biological process followed by natural and climatic changes. It is vastly found emerged on the surface of the earth as deposits and comes in various types. These variety in the soils introduced at the surface can be credited to a progression of natural process through time. Soil has been one of the primary components of construction since ancient times due to its common availability on earth. The applications can be found in a variety of forms like rammed earth, adobe blocks, and mud plaster. It is one of the basic material for the production of blocks. Predominantly, clay and laterite soil used to enhance engineering performance in various application. Laterite soil is unique due to its iron and aluminium content. They are formed by undergoing high and long lasting weathering process. Block masonry is a well proven building material possessing excellent properties in various aspects, for instance, strength, durability, appearances and cost (Hendry *et al.* 1997). However, the quality of masonry in building mainly depends on the soil and stabilizer used and all the bricks or blocks must meet the requirement standards for its engineering application.

Cement are products produced by grinding hard nodular clinker formed by burning raw materials (argillaceous and calcareous) mainly composed of silicate, alumina, lime and iron oxide. Cement has cohesive and adhesive characteristics which set and hardens when mix with a paste of water. When cement used as stabilizer, liquid limit(LL) is decreased and plasticity index(PI) is increased through chemical reactions in turn the soil workability is enhanced (Waziri *et al.*, 2013). The common shortcomings in masonry are weak mechanical characteristics, environmental damage low protection from weathering processes and

responsible to volume change particularly in the utilization of clay. Therefore, to overcome these obstacles, combination of mechanical and chemical technically known as stabilization is needed with soil that have suitable natural geotechnical properties.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Developing countries facing challenges in provision of housing such as in Malaysia. Construction materials costs are increasing tremendously such as cement, timber and steel, which make contractors less encouraged to construct houses on limited budget. Based on statistical data from Valuation and Property Services Department (JPPH), the housing demand is estimated to continue slow progress in 2017, due to Malaysia weak currency performance among others and effects of slowing economy. Housing is important to manage one's daily life, however 50% of world population still living in shack houses in rural areas (Noorbaya *et al.*, 2014). As an alternative solution to this problems, using low-cost housing material will be beneficial to provide affordable housing in order to meet society demand (Nasly *et al.*, 2009), (Raheem *et al.*, 2010). Ideally, locally accessible raw material which are abundant in quantity and renewable in nature allows progression of low cost housing.

Cement content in interlocking block have the ability to alter the compressive strength of interlocking block however, the most favourable outcome of mixed design still depends on the optimum proportions. Maximum strength can be achieved with optimum mixed proportions of soil, cement and water content. The problem is that there is not well documented guide on how to produce soil cement interlocking blocks and the best curing period to give the optimum strength. While in general building construction such as single storey economical housing, burnt clay bricks may be replaced with soil cement block which usually require compressive strength of  $1-4 \frac{N}{mm^2}$ . (Nasly *et al.*, 2009)

In The Public Works Department (JKR standards) and (MS 76:1972), minimum compressive strength for load bearing internal walls of block is  $2.8 \frac{N}{mm^2}$  and  $1.4 \frac{N}{mm^2}$  for non load bearing partitions which indicated that its suitable to be used in one or two storey

dwelling house construction. Therefore, a better understanding on geotechnical properties of soils needed to utilize the benefits fully in construction work

The strength and durability characteristics of soil-cement blocks mainly depends on three important ratios which is soil composition, water and cement content. There were very less research done by using laterite soil and cement for stabilization. (Noorbaya *et al.*, 2014) indicated that eventhough gradual success have been achieved by all the research on laterite soil cement block, still the study need to be more in depth to further implement full benefits of the laterite soil. Mostly, clayey soil were used to produce soil cement blocks. The study of laterite soil properties are diverse and complex which needs knowledge of geotechnical engineering. Since Malaysia is a tropical country, laterite soil is found extensively in Kedah, Pahang, Seremban, Melaka and Johor (Eyles *et al.*, 1970). However, the procedures of identifying laterite soil for sampling need to be studied. On the other hand, process of curing is very important in where the strength of cement soil mixture increases with curing period as indicated by (Starcher, 2013). Stabilization processes are very complex due to numerous parameters need to be considered. The knowledge of soil mechanics can help to study optimum properties of laterite soil that achieves high compressive strength when stabilized with cement.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this research are:

- I. To determine engineering properties and characterization of laterite soil for application of soil cement blocks.
- II. To demonstrate effects of cement on the compressive strength of soil with respect to curing days.
- III. To determine optimum moisture content of laterite soil stabilized with cement to achieve minimum compressive strength required by Malaysian Standard (MS 76: 1972) for blocks.