ISOLATION OF ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANT BACTERIA FROM THE HANDPHONES OF MALE AND FEMALE USERS IN INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

LITHIYA LETCHUMY A/P RAVI @ THIAGU

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF BIOTECHNOLOGY (HONOURS)

FACULTY OF HEALTH AND LIFE SCIENCES INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY PUTRA NILAI, MALAYSIA

NON-PLAGIARISM DECLARATION

By this letter I declare that I have written this thesis completely by myself, and that I have used no other sources or resources than the ones mentioned.

I have indicated all quotes and citations that were literally taken from publications, or that were in close accordance with the meaning of those publications, as such. All sources and other resources used are stated in the references.

Moreover I have not handed in a thesis similar in contents elsewhere.

In case of proof that the thesis has not been constructed in accordance with this declaration, the Faculty of Health and Life Sciences has the right to consider the research thesis as a deliberate act that has been aimed at making correct judgment of the candidate's expertise, insights and skills impossible.

I acknowledge that the assessor of this item may, for the purpose of assessing this item,

- reproduce this assessment item and provide a copy to another member of the University; and/or,
- communicate a copy of this assessment item to a plagiarism checking service (which may then retain a copy of the assessment item on its database for the purpose of future plagiarism checking).

In case of plagiarism the examiner has the right to fail me and take action as prescribed by the rules regarding Academic Misconduct practiced by INTI International University.

Lithiya Letchumy A/P Ravi @ Thiagu	2. Cithings.	
Name	Signature	
I14006767	14 th July 2017	
I.D.Number	Date	

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this proposal is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged, and completed under the supervision of Ms. Lalita Ambigai Sivasamugham and co-supervision of Dr. Geetha Subramaniam.

Lithiya Letchumy A/P Ravi @ Thiagu	Ms. Lalila Ambigai
I14006767	(SUPERVISOR)
14/JULY/2017	Dr. Geetha Subramaniam
	(CO-SUPERVISOR)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude and appreciation to everyone who had helped me to finish this project. A very special thanks to Ms. Lalita Ambigai Sivasamugham, my supervisor and Dr. Geetha Subramaniam, my co-supervisor for providing me guidance, support, and comments during my project and thesis writing. I would like to thank Dr. Geeta Selvarajah for the guidance in Chi Square test. Also, I would like to thank Neesha Sabrina and the laboratory assistants who helped me in the Laboratory.

To the students of INTI International University that participated in my study, I truly appreciate your voluntary participation and time spent in answering the questionnaires that helped me to conduct my study.

ABSTRACT

The current most indispensable accessories of humans is the mobile phone. Despite their vast benefits, mobile phones are undoubtly a good reservoir for bacterial transmission due to its heavy usage. This makes mobile phones excellent tools to transmit bacteria including antibiotic resistant bacteria. Thus, the objectives of this study were to isolate antibiotic-resistant bacteria from the mobile phones of male and female users in INTI International University and to preliminarily compare the pattern of distribution of antibiotic-resistant bacteria between the gender using the chi square test. Twenty-six touch screen mobile phones samples were collected from the students and lecturers of INTI International University by rubbing the screens aseptically using sterile cotton swabs. The samples were cultured onto nutrient agar to obtain pure cultures. All the isolates were subjected to confirmatory tests as well as the antibiotic susceptibility test. The antibiotic susceptibility was determined using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2017. A total of 53 pure culture isolates were obtained from the touch screen mobile phones, where, 48 isolates were gram-positive and the remaining 5 were gram-negative bacteria. The genera of the isolates were predicted based on the results obtained from the confirmatory tests and were confirmed with the Bergey's manual. According to the results, the possible genera of the isolates that had been isolated from the touch screen mobile phone samples were Enterobacter sp. Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus Micrococcus aureus, sp, Propionibacterium sp and Bacillus licheniformis. Out of the 48 gram-positive, 24 isolates were resistant to at least one type of antibiotics. Apart from that, 14 isolates were resistant to cefoxitin, 18 were susceptible but the susceptibility of the other 16 isolates could not be determined. However, all the gram-negative bacteria were susceptible to all three antibiotics, namely, tetracycline, amikacin and erythromycin. The chi square tests indicated that there are no significant differences in the number of antibiotic-resistant bacteria isolated from male and female users but this can be better determined from a larger sample size.

TABLE OF CONTENT

		, 1	Page
NC	N-PL	AGIARISM DECLARATION	ii
DE	CLAF	RATION	iii
AC	CKNOV	WLEDGEMENTS	iv
AB	STRA	CT	v
TA	BLE (OF CONTENT	vi
LIS	ST OF	TABLES	ix
LIS	ST OF	FIGURES	X
LIS	ST OF	ABBREVIATION	хi
CH	IAPTE	R	
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
2		ERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1	Antibiotics	3
	2.2		4
		2.2.1 Factor Leading to Resistance	4
		2.2.2 Types of Antibiotic-resistant Bacteria	5
		2.2.3 Consequences of Antibiotic Resistance2.2.4 Limiting the Spread of Antibiotic-resistant Bacteria	7
	2.3	U 1	7
	2.3	Isolation of Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria from Inanimate Objects 2.3.1 Isolation of Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria from Mobile	8
		Phones	9
	2.4	Confirmatory Tests	10
3		TERIALS AND METHODS	11
	3.1	Preparation of Media	11
		3.1.1 Nutrient Broth	11
		3.1.2 Nutrient Agar	11
		3.1.3 Tryptone Broth 3.1.4 Simmon's Citrate Agar	11
		6	11
		3.1.5 Mueller Hinton Agar 3.1.6 MRVP Broth	12
		3.1.7 Mannitol Salt Agar	12
		3.1.8 MacConkey Agar	12
		3.1.9 Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) Agar	12
	3.2	Sample Collection and Pure Culture Preparation	12 12
	3.3	Glycerol Stock	13
	3.4	Confirmatory Tests	13

		3.4.1 Gram Staining	13
		3.4.2 Biochemical Profile Analyses	14
		3.4.2.1 IMViC Test	14
		3.4.2.2 Catalase Test	14
		3.4.2.3 Oxidase Test	14
		3.4.2.4 Triple Sugar Iron Agar Test	15
	3.5	Growth on Selective and Differential Media	15
		3.5.1 MacConkey Agar	15
		3.5.2 Mannitol Salt Agar	15
	3.6	Antibiotic Susceptibility Test – Disc Diffusion Assay	15
	3.7	Growth on Brilliance MRSA 2 Agar	16
	3.8	Statistical Analysis	16
4	RES	ULTS	17
	4.1	Sample Collection	17
	4.2	Isolates from the Touch Screen Mobile Phones	17
	4.3	Identification of Bacteria	18
		4.3.1 Gram Staining	18
		4.3.2 IMViC Test	19
		4.3.2.1 Indole Test	19
		4.3.2.2 Methyl Red (MR) Test	20
		4.3.2.3 Voges Proskauer (VP) Test	20
		4.3.2.4 Citrate Test	21
		4.3.3 Triple Sugar Iron Test	21
		4.3.4 Oxidase Test	22
		4.3.5 Catalase Test	22
		4.3.6 Growth on MacConkey Agar	23
		4.3.7 Growth on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA)	23
		4.3.8 Identification of the Possible Genera of the Isolates	24
	4.4	Antibiotic Susceptibility Test-Disc Diffusion Assay	24
	4.5	Growth on Brilliance MRSA 2 Agar	27
	4.6	Chi Square Test	28
		4.6.1 Null Hypothesis (H ₀) and Alternative Hypothesis (H ₁)	28
		4.6.2 The Influence of Gender in the Isolation of Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria	29
_	DIG		
5	5.1	CUSSION Confirmatoury Took for Posterial Include:	30
	3.1	Confirmatory Test for Bacterial Isolates 5.1.1 Gram Reaction	30
		5.1.2 IMViC Test	30
			31
		5.1.3 Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) Test5.1.4 Oxidase Test	32
		5.1.5 Catalase Test	32
	5.2	Growth on Selective and Differential Media	33
			33
		5.2.1 Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) Test5.2.2 MacConkey Agar Test	33
	5.3	Antibiotic Susceptibility Assay	33
	5.4	Growth on Brilliance MRSA 2 Agar	34
	5.5	Chi Square Test	35
		7 7 1031	36

6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		37
REFERENCES	,	38
APPENDICES	•	44
APPENDIX	XA	44
APPENDIX	X B	46
APPENDIX	X C	49

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	,	Page
1	The different test of IMViC test with the reagents	14
2	Chi square test for isolates that are resistant to cefoxitin obtained from both male and female users.	28
3	Chi square test for isolates that are resistant to cephazolin obtained from both male and female users.	29
4	Chi square test for isolates that are resistant to clindamycin obtained from both male and female users.	29
5	A summarized results of gram positive and gram negative isolates.	49
6	A summarized table of confirmatory test for all the gram positive and gram negative isolates.	50
7	A summarized table of Antibiotic Susceptibility Test for all the gram-positive isolates.	54
8	A summarized table of Antibiotic Susceptibility Test for all the gram-negative isolates.	62

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
1	The number of isolates that were isolated from the touch screen mobile phones by gram reaction.	17
2	Forty-eight gram positive bacteria and 5 gram negative bacteria were isolated from the handphones of the users.	18
3	Gram stained slides viewed under a bright field microscope at 1000X magnification.	19
4	A yellow layer was formed on the top of the tryptophan broth.	19
5	A red layers formed on the top of the MRVP broth.	20
6	(a) Flai and (b) Flaii were positive to VP test, whereas, (c) F1b, (d) F2a and (e) F4b were negative to VP test.	20
7	Isolates F1ai, F1aii, F1b, F2a and F4b were citrate positive.	21
8	The yellow slant and butt indicated glucose fermentation.	21
9	Isolate F2a was oxidase positive.	22
10	The entire gram-positive isolates listed in Table 2 under appendix C were catalase positive.	23
11	Gram-negative lactose fermentor.	23
12	(a) Non-mannitol fermentors and (b) mannitol fermenters growing on MSA.	24
13	Fifty percent of the gram positive isolates were resistant to at least one type of antibiotic.	25
14	Number of gram-positive isolates that were resistant to antibiotics tested.	26
15	Antibiotic Susceptibility test on F13 isolates which were resistant to cefoxitin only.	26
16	Number of resistant bacteria isolated from mobile phones by gender.	27
17	MRSA negative for (a) F15bi that produced purple colonies and (b) F13 that produced white colonies.	28

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

μg Microgram

μL Microliter

A Amikacin

ASPs Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs

B.licheniformis Bacillus licheniformis

°C Degree celcius

CFU/Ml Colony forming units/millilitre

CLSI Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Cm Centimetre

CO₂ Carbon dioxide

DA Clindamycin

df Degree of Freedom

E Erythromycin

E. coli Escherichia coli

Etc Et cetera

FOX Cefoxitin

H₀ Null hypothesis

H₁ Alternative hypothesis

H₂O Water

H₂O₂ Hydrogen peroxide

HAIs Healthcare-Associated Infections

HGT Horizontal Gene Transfer

ΚZ

Cephazolin

MDR

Multidrug-resistant

MH

Mueller Hinton

Mm

Millimetre

MR

Methyl red

MRSA

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

MRVP

Methyl Red Voges Proskauer

MSA

Mannitol Salt Agar

Nm

Nanometre

P. aeruginosa

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

P. vulgaris

Proteus vulgaris

rpm

Revolution per minute

S. aureus

Staphylococcus aureus

S. epidermis

Staphylococcus epidermis

S. Pneumoniae

Streptococcus pneumonia

S. typhimurium

Salmonella typhimurium

TE

Tetracycline

TSI

Triple Sugar Iron

v/v

Volume/volume

VP

Voges-prokauer

VRE

Vancomycin-resistant enterococci

 χ^2

Chi Square

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The current and one of the most crucial accessories of most humans is the mobile phones (Tagoe, Gyande & Ansah, 2011). Mobile phone users are estimated to reach 4.77 billion by the end 2017 (Number of mobile, 2015). Mobile phones are widely used because of their importance and assistance in the daily lives of humans. Mobile phones provide additional services, such as email, text messaging service, as well as other services (Tagoe et al., 2011).

Despite the benefits, handphones have setbacks which are often neglected by users. These setbacks affect the health of the users (Ibrahim, Akenroye, Opawale & Osabiya, 2013). Mobile phones are known as fomites, objects that contain microorganisms obtained from the environment (Bhoonderowa, Gookool & Biranjia, 2014). The microbes on the mobile phones are able to survive and breed due to the high temperature and humid conditions provided by the phones (Srikanth, Rajaram, Sudharsanam, Lakshmanan, Umamaheswari & Kalyani, 2009). Thus, a mobile phone can easily transmit microbes including antibiotic resistant bacteria to others and to the environment (Elmanama, Hassona, Marouf, Alshaer & Ghanima, 2015). This is possible because mobile phones come in contact with the microbes found on the skin and other body parts of the users (Rawia, Hatem & Nabil, 2012). Some of the resistant bacteria that have been isolated from mobile phones are Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Bacillus subtilis Enterobacter aerogenes (Amira, 2010). Therefore, in order to prevent the transmission of antibiotic resistant bacteria among the public, appropriate personal hygiene and disinfection of the contaminated surfaces should be followed (Chang, III, Byeong & Sang, 2013).

Previous study has shown that, more gram positive bacteria were isolated from the mobile phones compared to gram negative bacteria. Also, a higher number of bacteria had been isolated from the male users compared to the female users (Elmanama et al., 2015). This could be due to the hygiene factors and cosmetic

(Elmanama et al., 2015). This could be due to the hygiene factors and cosmetic products used by the female users that have antimicrobial agents which reduces the bacterial load on the skin that could possibly transfer the bacteria onto the phone. To date, very limited studies have been done to compare overall resistant bacteria loads on the mobile phones of female and male users.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to isolate antibiotic-resistant bacteria from the mobile phones of male and female users in INTI International University and to preliminarily compare the pattern of distribution of antibiotic-resistant bacteria using chi square test. By knowing the types of resistance, we may be able to somehow predict the types of antibiotics that are commonly used within this community as we all know that the higher the prescription, the higher the chances of bacteria gaining resistance towards antibiotics. Also, knowing the overall percentage or load of bacteria by gender may provide a deeper insight why the load is higher in a certain gender compared to another (if any).

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 ANTIBIOTICS

An antibiotic is a chemical that kills or inhibit the growth of the bacteria. They are often used to prevent or treat bacterial infection since 1940s, and are a strong tool in the clinical management of bacterial diseases (Sengupta, Chattopadhyay & Grossart, 2013). The Scottish physician-scientist, Alexander Fleming, was the first person to discover antibiotic which was penicillin. More than a million lives have been saved ever since the initial discovery and use of antibiotics (Tan & Tatsumura, 2015).

Antibiotics are also important in preventing or treating infections in patients who are undergoing chemotherapy or experiencing chronic infections due to organ transplants, joint replacement and cardiac surgeries. Antibiotics also help to prolong the life span of humans by changing the outcomes of bacterial infection. For instance, the average life span of a person who lived in U.S. in 1920, were 56.4 years old, whereas, the average lifespan of the people who live in U.S. today is almost 80 years (Ventola, 2015). On top of that, antibiotics have also contributed to the decrease of mortality and morbidity caused by the poor sanitation in developing countries (Ventola, 2015).

Currently antibiotics are produced via semi-synthetic route which involves the hydrolysis of natural antibiotics followed by the amidation of natural or modified antibiotic nuclei with different donor chains of carboxylic acyl (Volpato, Rodrigues & Fernandez, 2010). Phenethicillin was the first semi-synthetic penicillin used in clinical practice followed by ampicillin, amoxicillin, oxacillin, cloxacillin, nafcillin, ticarcillin, carbenicillin, flucloxacillin, and methicillin (Rolinson, 1998). Semi-synthetic antibiotics were produced to increase the absorption, excretion and protein-binding of the antibiotic along with the decreased allergic reactions and intrinsic activity of the semi synthetic antibiotics (Kalant, 1965). But the main reason for this is because bacteria were becoming resistant to the natural antibiotics.