

HISTOLOGY TO PROVE THE OCCURRENCE SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS
IN *Drosera burmannii*

HAFSA TAYYAB

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
BACHELOR OF BIOTECHNOLOGY (HONOURS)

FACULTY OF HEALTH AND LIFE SCIENCES
INTI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
PUTRA NILAI, MALAYSIA

2017

NON-PLAGIARISM DECLARATION

By this letter I declare that I have written this thesis completely by myself, and that I have used no other sources or resources than the ones mentioned.

I have indicated all quotes and citations that were literally taken from publications, or that were in close accordance with the meaning of those publications, as such. All sources and other resources used are stated in the references.

Moreover, I have not handed in a thesis similar in contents elsewhere.

In case of proof that the thesis has not been constructed in accordance with this declaration, the Faculty of Health and Life Sciences has the right to consider the research proposal as a deliberate act that has been aimed at making correct judgment of the candidate's expertise, insights and skills impossible.

I acknowledge that the assessor of this item may, for the purpose of assessing this item,

- reproduce this assessment item and provide a copy to another member of the University; and/or,
- communicate a copy of this assessment item to a plagiarism checking service (which may then retain a copy of the assessment item on its database for the purpose of future plagiarism checking).

In case of plagiarism the examiner has the right to fail me and take action as prescribed by the rules regarding Academic Misconduct practiced by INTI International University.

HAFSA TAYYAB

Name

I15007278

I.D.Number



Signature

25/07/17

Date

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged, and completed under the supervision of Dr. Choong Chieh Wean.

HAFSA TAYYAB

Dr. CHOONG CHIEH WEAN

Student ID: I15007278

(SUPERVISOR)

DATE: 25 JULY 2017

ABSTRACT

There are certain traits of carnivorous plants that have intrigued people for years. Carnivorous plants are marvellous in the way they portray natural selection. Carnivorous plants are admired for their ornamental value, however, their true value lies in their medicinal properties. Unfortunately, due to these traits, carnivorous plants have become endangered. Plant tissue culture techniques are being utilised to conserve these endangered species. *In vitro* propagation allows production of disease free clones of plants on a large scale. *In vitro* plant regeneration is carried out via organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis (SE). Direct somatic embryogenesis (DSE) is preferred as single cells are involved which reduces somaclonal variation and chimera formation. The aim of this research was to use histological study to observe and confirm pre- and post-globular developmental stages of SE in *D. burmannii*. Leaf explants of *D. burmannii* were previously induced on medium containing 0.01 mg/L thidiazuron (TDZ) to undergo SE. Leaf explants were fixed, embedded in paraffin wax, sectioned and stained to produce microscopic slides used in histological study. Stereo microscope analysis and histological study were carried out to observe morphological changes occurring in those leaf explants. The pre- and post-globular morphological structures such as globular, heart, torpedo and germinating were identified via stereo microscope and histology approach. Observation of outer and inner morphology of globular, heart, torpedo and germinating embryos confirmed that *D. burmannii* could be regenerated *in vitro* via SE. Even though it was found that *D. burmannii* does not have a cotyledon stage, from torpedo stage it goes directly into germinating stage. However, the presence of a germinating stage proved that regeneration via SE had occurred. It was concluded that *D. burmannii* regenerates via DSE and undergoes a unicellular pathway. Further research can be carried out to study nutritional factors to increase SE rate in *D. burmannii*.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
NON-PLAGIARISM DECLARATION	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	ix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 <i>In Vitro</i> Propagation of Sundew	4
2.2 Somatic Embryogenesis (SE)	4
2.3 Thidiazuron (TDZ)	5
2.4 Indirect and Direct SE	6
2.5 Embryological Development Stages of SE	7
2.6 Alternative Approach to Prove SE	10
2.7 Molecular or Morphological Markers	11
3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	12
3.1 Inducing SE	12
3.2 Histology Procedure for the Explants	12
3.2.1 Fixation of Explants	12
3.2.2 Dehydration, Clearing and Infiltration	13
3.2.3 Embedding Explants	14
3.2.4 Sectioning	14
3.2.5 Dewaxing	15
3.3 Staining	16
3.4 Clearing, Mounting and Microscopy Observation	17
4 RESULTS	18
4.1 Pre-Globular Stages	18
4.1.1 Embryogenic Cell (EC) Stage	18
4.1.2 Globular Stage	18
4.2 Post-Globular Stages	21
4.2.1 Heart Stage	21
4.2.2 Torpedo Stage	21

4.2.3	Germinating Stage	22
5	DISCUSSION	26
5.1	SE in <i>D. burmannii</i>	26
5.2	Pre-Globular Stages	26
5.2.1	Formation of Basal and Apical Cells	27
5.2.2	Formation of Globular Structure	27
5.3	Post-Globular Stages	28
5.3.1	Formation of Heart Structure	28
5.3.2	Formation of Torpedo Structure	29
5.3.3	Formation of Germinating Structure	30
5.3.4	Absence of Cotyledon Structure	31
5.4	Recommendation and Future Direction of Research	31
6	CONCLUSION	33
	REFERENCES	34
	APPENDICES	42

LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Page
2.1	Common terms used in somatic embryogenesis research.	7
3.1	The staining method used in the histological study and their respective protocols.	16
3.2	Instructions on how to prepare the reagents used for staining.	17
A1	Solutions used for fixation and their chemical compositions.	42
A2	Solutions used for dehydration and their chemical compositions.	42

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	A schematic representation of DSE in <i>Coffea canephora</i> (upper) and ISE in <i>Coffea arabica</i> (lower) undergoing unicellular and multicellular pathways reproduced from Quiroz-Figueroa et al., (2006).	7
2.2	<i>Arabidopsis</i> embryo sections as viewed under a light microscope reproduced from Yan et al., (2016).	9
2.3	Histological study of <i>Eucalyptus</i> reproduced from Corredoira et al., (2015).	9
3.1	A flow chart showing the steps of dewaxing sections on glass slides.	15
4.1	Early SE morphological marker in <i>D. burmannii</i> .	19
4.2	Outer and inner morphology of globular stage in <i>D. burmannii</i> .	20
4.3	Outer and inner morphology of heart stage in <i>D. burmannii</i> .	23
4.4	Outer and inner morphology of torpedo stage in <i>D. burmannii</i> .	24
4.5	Outer and inner morphology of germinating stage in <i>D. burmannii</i> .	25

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

°C	degree Celsius
%	percentage
µm	micrometre
d	day
<i>D. burmannii</i>	<i>Drosera burmannii</i>
<i>D. tokaiensis</i>	<i>Drosera tokaiensis</i>
DSE	direct somatic embryogenesis
DWS	dish washing solution
EC	embryogenic cell
ECC	embryogenic competent cell
g	gram
H ₂ O ₂	hydrogen peroxide
HCl	hydrochloric acid
hr	hour
ISE	indirect somatic embryogenesis
KAl(SO ₄) ₂	aluminium potassium sulphate
KI	potassium iodide
MgSO ₄	magnesium sulphate
min	minute
MS medium	Murashige & Skoog (1962) medium
Na ₂ HPO ₄	disodium phosphate
Na ₂ S ₂ O ₅	sodium metabisulphite
Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ·5H ₂ O	sodium thiosulphate pentahydrate
NaHCO ₃	sodium bicarbonate
NaH ₂ PO ₄	monosodium phosphate
NBF	neutral buffered formalin
PAS	periodic-acid schiff

PGR	plant growth regulator
PP	polypropylene
s	second
SE	somatic embryogenesis
SERK	somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase
SO ₂	sulphur dioxide
TBA	tert-butyl alcohol
TBO	toluidine blue O
TDZ	thidiazuron
TWS	Scott's tap water substitute
v/v	volume per volume concentration
w/v	weight per volume concentration

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Carnivorous plants have intrigued people as far back as the nineteenth century. Charles Darwin had first described this phenomenon in his book titled “Insectivorous Plants” in 1875. The reason behind this was simply because the existence of carnivorous plants defied the common ideology that plants were harmless and immobile (Krol et al., 2011). To this day, approximately 600 species of carnivorous plants have been discovered around the world. Plants are classified as carnivorous based on several characteristics. Carnivorous plants have adapted over the years to trap and digest preys, and assimilate necessary metabolites for their growth (Adamec, 1997). Charles Darwin was fascinated by carnivorous plants because of how they portrayed natural selection (Albert, Williams, & Chase, 1992). Carnivorous plants evolved to survive in harsh environments such as soil lacking in nutrients (Banasiuk, Kawiak, & Krolicka, 2012).

Despite carnivorous plants being used for ornamental purposes, they not only have aesthetic value but also medicinal as well. In recent years, research has been conducted on carnivorous plants particularly on the *Drosera* genus commonly known as sundews with estimated 200 species. Conservation work is in progress for micropropagation of endangered species of *Drosera* such as *Drosera intermedia*. In Thai traditional medicine, *Drosera indica* is used to treat eczema and hepatitis; it contains plumbagin, a naphthoquinone which is a therapeutic agent (Thaweesak, Sakamoto, Tanaka, & Waraporn, 2011; Rejthar, Viehmannova, Cepkova, Fernandez, & Milella, 2014).

Unfortunately, due to human interference, carnivorous plants have become endangered. Because of their ornamental and medicinal value, carnivorous plants are in high demand. The medicinal properties of the *Drosera* genus come from the production of naphthoquinones and flavonoids, which are important raw materials for the pharmaceutical industry (Banasiuk et al., 2012). Human factors such as over-collection of plants, urbanisation, habitat destruction, and many more have put

valuable plant species at risk of extinction (Manole-Paunescu, 2014). Contrary to the conventional methods for growing plants, modern plant tissue culture techniques are preferred. *In vitro* propagation has become a key technique in ensuring survival of endangered plants and to fill the gap in supply and demand of commercially important plants (Irawati, 2013). *In vitro* propagation is utilised for mass production of disease free plant clones. This technique can be used to conserve the gene pool and gives superior varieties of plants (Rout, Mohapatra, & Jain, 2006). Environmental factors such as pests, climate, diseases, and geographical location are the problems which plague conventional methods for plant production (Banasiuk et al., 2012; Vinoth & Ravindhran, 2013). *In vitro* propagation is not constrained by these limiting factors. *In vitro* propagation can be carried out via organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis (SE) (Banasiuk et al., 2012; Vinoth & Ravindhran, 2013). Organogenesis exhibits chimera formation, and thus SE is the better alternative.

In SE, a haploid or diploid somatic cell differentiates into a whole new plant following the embryological stages without fusion of gametes (dos Santos, Mariath, Moco, & Bodanese-Zanettini, 2006). There are two ways in which SE can be initiated, either directly on explants known as direct somatic embryogenesis (DSE) or indirectly from unorganised tissues (callus) known as indirect somatic embryogenesis (ISE). However, the indirect route is not encouraged as the plants produced may not be genetically identical (Santacruz-Ruvalcaba, Gutierrez-Mora, & Rodriguez-Garay, 1998). Whereas, DSE is better as there is no callus formation leading to less somaclonal variation which also prevents chimera formation (Jimenez, 2001; Kamle, Bajpai, Chandra, Kalim, & Kumar, 2011). SE can be used for mass propagation of plants that are endangered or have medicinal and commercial value (Kamle et al., 2011).

Apart from the studies conducted at INTI International University (IIU), no studies have been conducted on the following research topic anywhere in the world from literature search. In the studies, histological approach was used to study the embryological development stages of SE in *Drosera tokaiensis* and *Drosera burmannii* (Sia, 2015; Kok 2015). For *D. burmannii* the early stages of embryological development were identified up to globular stage through DSE (Sia, 2015). However, the study regarding *D. burmannii* could not be completed due to time constraint even

though data was available on stages up to globular stage (Kok, 2016). Therefore, less data from *D. burmannii* was available as compared to *D. tokaiensis*. Studying *D. burmannii* could help to understand other routes of SE regeneration pathways in sundews in addition to those discovered in *D. tokaiensis*. Previous studies had identified globular stage in *D. burmannii*. Thus, gathering data on pre- and post-globular stages is important because only then, regeneration ability via SE can be proven in the species.

The aim of this research was to use histology to observe and confirm the different pre- and post-globular stages of SE in *D. burmannii*. *D. burmannii* leaf explants that were induced on medium containing 0.01 mg/L thidiazuron (TDZ) were fixed, embedded in paraffin wax, sectioned and stained. Embryological stages of development such as globular, heart, torpedo and germinating somatic embryos were identified from those stained sections.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *IN VITRO* PROPOGATION OF SUNDEW

Darwin (1875), the scientist who discovered carnivorous plants stated that “I care more about *Drosera* than the origin of all the species in the world”. To this day scientists are researching on *Drosera* genus the common sundew under Droseraceae family. *Drosera* evolved over the years to catch prey with the help of long tentacles on their leaves (Gibson & Waller, 2009). Despite being known for their ornamental beauty *Drosera* have medicinal value as well.

In Indian traditional medicine, leaves from *Drosera burmannii* mixed with salt are used for treatment of blisters (Yanthan, Kehie, Kumaria, & Tandon, 2017). Naphthoquinones and flavonoids are major compounds present in *D. burmannii* contributing to pharmaceutical value of the plant (Wang, Su, & Zeng, 1998). Dried *D. burmannii* plants called ‘Herba Droserae’ are being used in pharmaceutical industries in China and many European countries (Yanthan et al., 2017).

Yanthan et al. (2017), conducted a recent study in India for *in vitro* propagation of *D. burmannii*. Regeneration of *D. burmannii* via organogenesis was carried out to conserve the endangered species and to mass propagate for supplying raw materials to pharmaceutical industries.

2.2 SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS (SE)

Regeneration of plants can be carried out via SE another way of *in vitro* propagation. SE was first observed in *Daucus carota* (carrot) in 1958 and to this day, many plant species have been reported to display SE. Due to plants’ attribute of cellular totipotency, SE is possible in many plant species (Ikeda-Iwai, Umehara, Satoh, & Kamada, 2003). In SE, *in vitro* regeneration of single somatic cells into whole plants occur where somatic cells undergo biochemical and morphological changes to form

somatic embryos (Sahijram & Bahadur, 2015). The morphological, biochemical, molecular and physiological changes in higher plants can be better understood by using SE as a model system (Karami, Aghavaishi, & Pour, 2009). SE occurs naturally in some plant species known as “apomixis” as seen in *Kalanchoe*, *Bryophyllum* and *Malaxis* species (Feher, 2005). SE can be induced *in vitro* in explants by using a plant growth regulator (PGR) or under stress condition (Feher, Pasternak, & Dudits, 2003). SE can also be induced by using TDZ.

2.3 THIDIAZURON (TDZ)

In 1976 in Germany, TDZ or N-phenyl-N-(1,2,3-thiadiazol-5-ylurea) was developed and used as a cotton defoliant (Lu, 1993). In 1982, it was reported that TDZ had cytokinin-like activity in *Phaseolus lunatus* callus cultures (Mok et al., 1982). According to Mok et al. (1982), among several thiadiazolylurea derivatives, TDZ demonstrated very high cytokinin-like activity which exceeds even that of zeatin. TDZ is a substituted phenylurea compound (Murthy, Murch, & Saxena, 1998) and does not have the purine ring found in zeatin (Lu, 1993). Thomas and Katterman (1986), discovered that in soybean, TDZ stimulated cell division. TDZ also stimulated radish cotyledon expansion and in tobacco leaf discs TDZ induced adventitious shoot formation (Thomas & Katterman, 1986).

In micropropagation and plant tissue culture techniques TDZ has been successfully used as a PGR (Thomas & Katterman, 1986). TDZ exhibits cytokinin and auxin-like effects on differentiation and growth of explants (Guo, Abbasi, Zeb, Xu, & Wei, 2011; Murthy et al., 1998). Low concentration of TDZ promotes axillary proliferation whereas high concentration of TDZ stimulates somatic embryo, adventitious shoot and callus formation (Visser, Qureshi, Gill, & Saxena, 1992). Medium containing TDZ produces shoot numbers equivalent to or greater than medium with purine-type cytokinins (Lu, 1993).

Perfect shoot formation was seen in *Artemisia annua* L. leaf and stem explants grown on Murashige & Skoog (MS; 1962) medium containing TDZ (Lualon, De-Eknamkul, Tanaka, Shoyama, & Putalun, 2008). 70% successful cases of SE were observed when MS medium was used as compared to other basal media (Bhojwani &